



Exercise Session W05

Computer Science (CSE) – AS 23

Overview

Today's Agenda

Follow-up

Feedback on **code expert**

Objectives

Repetition

Binary Representation

Normalized Floating Point Systems

Floating Point Guidelines

Exam Question

Outro



`n.ethz.ch/~agavranovic`

1. Follow-up

Follow-up from last exercise session

Question from last Exercise Session

```
int x = 1;
int y = 1;

bool EXPRESSION = (++x == 2) || (++x/0) || (y-- == 0) && (++y == 0);
// Value of EXPRESSION?

std::cout << EXPRESSION << std::endl;
// Output?
```

Lösung

- `EXPRESSION == true`
- Ausgabe:1

2. Feedback on **code** expert

How to Comment

- Rule of Thumb: If you're just stating what is written in code then delete the comment
- Comments should add context
- Give your variables descriptive names and use comments to guide the reader through your thought process
- Alternatively, write your code so well that it needs no comments

How to Comment

```
// Set a to value 6
const unsigned int a = 6;

// Output "Hello World!\n" to the console.
std::cout << "Hello World!";
```

Better:

```
// resistance of component A to 6 Ohm
const unsigned int resistance_A = 6;

// Greet World
std::cout << "Hello World!";
```


3. Objectives

Objectives

- Be able to convert a decimal (non-integer) number into its binary representation
- Be able to compute the elements of the set $F^*(b, p, e_{\min}, e_{\max})$
- Be able to perform arithmetic operations in set $F^*(b, p, e_{\min}, e_{\max})$

4. Repetition

Expressions

Exercise

Evaluier die folgenden Expressions:

1. `5 < 4 < 1`
2. `true > false`

Lösung 1

```
5 < 4 < 1
(5 < 4) < 1
false < 1
0 < 1
true
```

Lösung 2

```
true > false
1 > 0
true
```

Recap: Binary Representation ...but which one?

Math. Dec	Math. Bin	int	unsigned int
42_{10}	101010_2	0101010	101010
-42_{10}	-101010_2	1010110	nope

`int` saves numbers in *two's complement representation*, hence the leading 0.

Binary Arithmetic

Tasks

1. Convert the whole numbers $a = 4$ and $b = 7$ into their binary representations (not two's complement)
2. Add the two (in their binary representation)
3. Convert the result back into decimal representation

Lösung

$$a = 4_{10} = 100_2$$

$$b = 7_{10} = 111_2$$

$$100_2 + 111_2 = 1011_2$$

$$1011_2 = 11_{10}$$

Questions?

5. Binary Representation

Binary Representation

binary	1	1	1	1	.	1	1	1
decimal	2^3	2^2	2^1	2^0	.	2^{-1}	2^{-2}	2^{-3}
	8	4	2	1	.	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{8}$

Exercises

1. 11.01_2 in decimal
2. 101.1_2 in decimal
3. 7.125_{10} in binary
4. 4.375_{10} in binary
5. 1.1_{10} in binary

Solutions

1. $2 + 1 + 0 + \frac{1}{4} = 3.25_{10}$
2. $4 + 0 + 1 + \frac{1}{2} = 5.5_{10}$
3. 111.001_2
4. 100.011_2
5. $1.1_{10} = 1.000110\dots_2$

Binary Representation

My personal approach

1. Calculate the number in front of the decimal point
2. Write out the Z_2 . Now to the Z_{10} . REST
3. Can $\frac{1}{2^i}$ be deducted from Z_{10} ?
If so, then subtract $\frac{1}{2^i}$ from Z_{10} and add a 1 to the end of Z_2
if not, add a 0 to the end of Z_2
4. if Z_{10} reached 0, you're done
if not, proceed with $i + 1$

Questions?

6. Normalized Floating Point Systems

Normalized Floating Point Systems

$F^*(\beta, p, e_{\min}, e_{\max})$

- * normalisiert ($d_0 \neq 0$)
- $\beta \geq 2$ Basis
- $p \geq 1$ Präzision (Anzahl Ziffern)
- e_{\min} kleinstmöglicher Exponent
- e_{\max} grösstmöglicher Exponent

...beschreibt Zahlen der Form:

$$\pm d_0.d_1d_2d_3 \dots d_{p-1} \cdot \beta^e$$

$$d_i \in \{0, \dots, \beta - 1\}$$

$$d_0 \neq 0$$

$$e \in [e_{\min}, e_{\max}]$$

Questions?

Exercises

Exercises

Are the following numbers in the set $F^*(2, 4, -2, 2)$?

$$0.000_2 \cdot 2^1 = 0_{10}$$

$$1.000_2 \cdot 2^1 = 2_{10}$$

$$1.001_2 \cdot 2^{-1} = 0.5625_{10}$$

$$1.0001_2 \cdot 2^{-1} = 0.53125_{10}$$

$$1.111_2 \cdot 2^{-2} = 0.46875_{10}$$

$$1.111_2 \cdot 2^5 = 60_{10}$$

Solutions

in F^*

$$1.000_2 \cdot 2^1 = 2_{10}$$

$$1.001_2 \cdot 2^{-1} = 0.5625_{10}$$

$$1.111_2 \cdot 2^{-2} = 0.46875_{10}$$

nicht in F^*

$$0.000_2 \cdot 2^1 \text{ not "normalizable"}$$

$$1.0001_2 \cdot 2^{-1} \quad 5 > p = 4$$

$$1.111_2 \cdot 2^5 \quad 5 \notin [-2, 2]$$

Questions?

more exercises

Exercise

Nenne die folgenden Zahlen in $F^*(2, 4, -2, 2)$ als Dezimalzahlen

1. die grösste Zahl
2. die kleinste Zahl
3. die kleinste nicht-negative Zahl

Solutions

grösste: $1.111 \cdot 2^2 = 7.5_{10}$
kleinste: $-1.111 \cdot 2^2 = -7.5_{10}$
kleinste > 0 : $1.000 \cdot 2^{-2} = 0.25_{10}$

Trick

For a given $F^*(\beta, p, e_{\min}, e_{\max})$:

Largest: $1.11 \dots 1 \cdot 2^{e_{\max}}$
Smallest: $-\text{Largest}$
Smallest > 0 : $1.00 \dots 0 \cdot 2^{e_{\min}}$

Normalized Floating Point Systems

Question

How many numbers are in $F^*(2, 4, -2, 2)$?

Answer

Für einen fixierten Exponenten gibt es immer drei Ziffern, die man frei variieren kann und für alle Zahlen gibt es auch eine jeweils negative in der Menge F^* . Das resultiert in $2 \cdot 2^3 = 16$ Zahlen pro Exponenten. Es gibt 5 mögliche Exponenten, daher also $5 \cdot 16 = 80$ Zahlen. Merke, dass keine Zahl doppelt gezählt wird, da jede NFP eindeutig (*unique*) ist.

Questions?

Arithmetik in F^*

Adding Floats

1. Beide zum gleichen Exponenten umformen
2. In Binärdarstellung addieren
3. Summe renormalisieren
4. Runden, falls nötig

Example

$$F^*(2, 6, -2, 3)$$

$$1.125_{10} + 9.25_{10}$$

$$1.001_2 + 1001.01_2$$

$$1010.011$$

$$1.010011 \cdot 2^3$$

$$1.01010 \cdot 2^3$$

$$1.01010_2 \cdot 2^3 = 1010.10_2 = 10.5_{10}$$

(bereits gleicher Exponent ($\cdot 2^0$))

simple Addition

Renormalisierung, e und p anpassen

Runden: 1 rauf, 0 runter, und übertragen

$\neq 10.375_{10}$

Wieso 10.5 und nicht 10.375?

Einfach, weil die exakte Zahl 10.375 nicht im gegebenen F^* dargestellt werden *kann*. Die nächste Zahl, die in F^* ist, ist 10.5. Das ist der Grund, wieso Floats gefährlich sein können. Deshalb müssen wir auch die *Floating Point Guidelines* befolgen.

Es ist nicht 10.25, weil wir in diesem Fall aufrunden, obwohl die Differenz bei beiden 10.25 und 10.5 zu 10.375 bei 0.125 liegt.

Übung

Übung

addiere $1.001 \cdot 2^{-1} = 0.5625_{10}$

zu $1.111 \cdot 2^{-2} = 0.46875_{10}$

in $F^*(2, 4, -2, 2)$.

Lösung

1. Bring beide auf den gleichen Exponenten, sagen wir -1
 $1.001 \cdot 2^{-1} + 0.1111 \cdot 2^{-1}$
2. Addier sie in der Binärdarstellung: $10.0001 \cdot 2^{-1}$
3. Renormalisiere: $1.00001 \cdot 2^0$
4. Runde: $1.000 \cdot 2^0 = 1_{10} \neq 1.03125_{10}$

Questions?

7. Floating Point Guidelines

Floating Point Guidelines

Guidelines

Guideline 1:

«Do **not** test two floating point numbers for **equality**, if at least one of them was rounded before.»

Guideline 1 – Example

Guideline 1:

«Do **not** test two floating point numbers for **equality**, if at least one of them was rounded before.»

This is false

Example:

```
float a = 1.05f;  
if (100*a == 105.0f)  
    std::cout << "no output\n";
```

Guideline 1 – Example

Guideline 1:

«Do **not** test two floating point numbers for **equality**, if at least one of them was rounded before.»

This is false

Example:

```
float a = 1.05f;  
if (100*a == 105.0f)  
    std::cout << "no output\n";
```

Problem:

1.05f not
representable

Guideline 1 – Example

Guideline 1:

«Do **not** test two floating point numbers for **equality**, if at least one of them was rounded before.»

This is false

Example:

```
float a = 1.05f;  
if (100*a == 105.0f)  
    std::cout << "no output\n";
```

Problem:

1.05f not
representable

1.05 = $1.0000110011001100110011001... \cdot 2^0$
(rounding) $\rightarrow 1.049999995231... = 1.0000110011001100110 \cdot 2^0$

Guidelines

Guideline 1:

«Do **not** test two floating point numbers for **equality**, if at least one of them was rounded before.»

Guideline 2:

«**Avoid** the **addition** of numbers of extremely **different sizes!**»

Guideline 2 – Example

Guideline 2:

«**Avoid the addition** of numbers of extremely **different sizes!**»

Example:

```
float a = 67108864.0f + 1.0f;  
  
if (a > 67108864.0f)  
    std::cout << "This is not output ... \n";
```


Guideline 2 – Example

Guideline 2:

«**Avoid the addition** of numbers of extremely **different sizes!**»

Example:

```
float a = 67108864.0f + 1.0f;  
  
if (a > 67108864.0f)  
    std::cout << "This is not output ... \n";
```

Problem:

Significant too
short

Guideline 2 – Example

Guideline 2:

«**Avoid the addition** of numbers of extremely **different sizes!**»

Example:

```
float a = 67108864.0f + 1.0f;  
  
if (a > 67108864.0f)  
    std::cout << "This is not output ... \n";
```

Problem:

Significant too short

$$\begin{array}{r} 67108864 = \overbrace{1.000000000000000000000000}^{24\text{bit}} \cdot 2^{26} \\ +1 = 0.000000000000000000000001 \cdot 2^{26} \\ \hline 67108865 = 1.000000000000000000000001 \cdot 2^{26} \end{array}$$

Guideline 2 – Example

Guideline 2:

«**Avoid** the **addition** of numbers of extremely **different sizes!**»

Problem:

Significand too short

Example:

```
float a = 67108864.0f + 1.0f;  
  
if (a > 67108864.0f)  
    std::cout << "This is not output ... \n";
```

$$\begin{array}{r} \\ \\ \\ \text{(rounding)} \rightarrow 67108864 \end{array} = \begin{array}{r} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} = \begin{array}{r} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \overbrace{1.000000000000000000000000}^{24\text{bit}} \cdot 2^{26} \\ 0.000000000000000000000001 \cdot 2^{26} \\ \hline 1.000000000000000000000001 \cdot 2^{26} \\ 1.000000000000000000000000 \cdot 2^{26} \end{array}$$

Guidelines

Guideline 1:

«Do **not** test two floating point numbers for **equality**, if at least one of them was rounded before.»

Guideline 2:

«**Avoid** the **addition** of numbers of extremely **different sizes!**»

Guideline 3:

«**Avoid** the **subtraction** of numbers of **similar sizes!**»

Guideline 3 – Example

Guideline 3:

«**Avoid the subtraction** of numbers of **similar sizes!**»

Example:

- Consider sequence $x_{n+1} = 6x_n - 1$

Guideline 3 – Example

Guideline 3:

«**Avoid the subtraction** of numbers of **similar sizes!**»

Example:

- Consider sequence $x_{n+1} = 6x_n - 1$
- Computing some sequences for given x_0 :

Guideline 3 – Example

Guideline 3:

«**Avoid the subtraction of numbers of similar sizes!**»

Example:

- Consider sequence $x_{n+1} = 6x_n - 1$
- Computing some sequences for given x_0 :
 - e.g. $x_0 = 1 \rightarrow x_1 = 5, x_2 = 29, x_3 = 173, \dots$

Guideline 3 – Example

Guideline 3:

«**Avoid the subtraction of numbers of similar sizes!**»

Example:

- Consider sequence $x_{n+1} = 6x_n - 1$
- Computing some sequences for given x_0 :
 - e.g. $x_0 = 1 \quad \rightarrow \quad x_1 = 5, \quad x_2 = 29, \quad x_3 = 173, \quad \dots$
 - e.g. $x_0 = 0.2 \quad \rightarrow \quad x_1 = 0.2, \quad x_2 = 0.2, \quad x_3 = 0.2, \quad \dots$

Guideline 3 – Example

Guideline 3:

«**Avoid the subtraction** of numbers of **similar sizes!**»

Example:

- Consider sequence $x_{n+1} = 6x_n - 1$
- Computing some sequences for given x_0 :
 - e.g. $x_0 = 1 \quad \rightarrow \quad x_1 = 5, \quad x_2 = 29, \quad x_3 = 173, \quad \dots$
 - e.g. $x_0 = 0.2 \quad \rightarrow \quad x_1 = 0.2, \quad x_2 = 0.2, \quad x_3 = 0.2, \quad \dots$

C++ claims

$x_{14} \approx 622.982$

Guideline 3 – Example

Guideline 3:

«**Avoid** the **subtraction** of numbers of **similar sizes!**»

Example:

- What went wrong?

Guideline 3 – Example

Guideline 3:

«**Avoid the subtraction of numbers of similar sizes!**»

Example:

- What went wrong?
 - `float` represents 0.2 as 0.20000000298...
 - Thus: $6 \cdot x_0 - 1 \neq 1.2 - 1$

Guideline 3 – Example

Guideline 3:

«**Avoid the subtraction** of numbers of **similar sizes!**»

Example:

- What went wrong?
 - `float` represents 0.2 as 0.20000000298...
 - Thus: $6 \cdot x_0 - 1 \neq 1.2 - 1$ but rather:
 - $x_1 = 0.20000004768 \dots$
 - $x_2 = 0.20000028610 \dots$
 - $x_3 = 0.20000171661 \dots$
 - \vdots

Guideline 3 – Example

Guideline 3:

«**Avoid the subtraction of numbers of similar sizes!**»

Example:

- What went wrong?
 - `float` represents 0.2 as 0.20000000298...
 - Thus: $6 \cdot x_0 - 1 \neq 1.2 - 1$ but rather:
 $x_1 = 0.20000004768 \dots$
 $x_2 = 0.20000028610 \dots$
 $x_3 = 0.20000171661 \dots$
⋮

Note how error increases!

Guideline 3 – Example

Guideline 3:

«**Avoid the subtraction** of numbers of **similar sizes!**»

But why do we subtract two similarly sized floating point numbers when we are comparing them to see if they are (roughly) equal?

In these cases, we do not further use the result from the subtraction in any other calculations. Therefore, the error does not add up over time causing issues as seen in the previous example.

Floating Point Numbers Vergleichen

Kurzgesagt: nicht auf Gleichheit prüfen
lieber auf "innerhalb der Toleranz" prüfen

```
// Beispiel of "equality"-check function for floats
bool equal(double x, double y, double tol){
    double diff = x - y;
    if(diff < 0){
        diff *= -1;
    }
    return (diff < tol);
}
```

Comparing FP-Numbers

The Comparison Problem

- Given `fp1` and `fp2` of type `float` or `double`.

- Guideline 1:

«Do **not** test two floating point numbers for **equality**, if at least one of them was rounded before.»

The Comparison Problem

- Given `fp1` and `fp2` of type `float` or `double`.

- Guideline 1:

«Do **not** test two floating point numbers for **equality**, if at least one of them was rounded before.»

- Thus `fp1 == fp2` should be **avoided**.

The Comparison Problem

- How can we **compare** instead?

The Comparison Problem

- How can we **compare** instead?
- First idea:
Allow for **small differences!**

Given: tolerance value $c > 0$.

fp1 "equals" fp2 whenever $|fp1 - fp2| < c$

(Remark: $|...|$ means absolute value. In C++ it's not available using vertical bars.)

The Comparison Problem

Given: tolerance value $c > 0$.

fp1 "equals" fp2 whenever $|fp1 - fp2| < c$

- Examples (c is 0.001):
 - $fp1 = 10.0$ and $fp2 = 12.0$

(Remark: on this slide = is meant in the mathematical sense.)

The Comparison Problem

Given: tolerance value $c > 0$.

`fp1 "equals" fp2` whenever $|fp1 - fp2| < c$

- Examples (c is 0.001):
 - `fp1 = 10.0` and `fp2 = 12.0`
 $|10.0 - 12.0| = 2.0$

(Remark: on this slide = is meant in the mathematical sense.)

The Comparison Problem

Given: tolerance value $c > 0$.

`fp1 "equals" fp2` whenever $|fp1 - fp2| < c$

- Examples (c is 0.001):
 - `fp1 = 10.0` and `fp2 = 12.0`
 $|10.0 - 12.0| = 2.0 > c$
Thus: **not "equal"**

(Remark: on this slide = is meant in the mathematical sense.)

The Comparison Problem

Given: tolerance value $c > 0$.

fp1 "equals" fp2 whenever $|fp1 - fp2| < c$

- **Examples (c is 0.001):**

- $fp1 = 10.0$ and $fp2 = 12.0$
 $|10.0 - 12.0| = 2.0 > c$

Thus: **not "equal"**

- $fp1 = 10.0$ and $fp2 = 10.000013$

(Remark: on this slide = is meant in the mathematical sense.)

The Comparison Problem

Given: tolerance value $c > 0$.

`fp1 "equals" fp2` whenever $|fp1 - fp2| < c$

- Examples (c is 0.001):

- `fp1 = 10.0` and `fp2 = 12.0`
 $|10.0 - 12.0| = 2.0 > c$

Thus: **not "equal"**

- `fp1 = 10.0` and `fp2 = 10.000013`
 $|10.0 - 10.000013| = 0.000013$

(Remark: on this slide = is meant in the mathematical sense.)

The Comparison Problem

Given: tolerance value $c > 0$.

`fp1 "equals" fp2` whenever $|fp1 - fp2| < c$

- Examples (c is 0.001):

- `fp1 = 10.0` and `fp2 = 12.0`
 $|10.0 - 12.0| = 2.0 > c$

Thus: **not "equal"**

- `fp1 = 10.0` and `fp2 = 10.000013`
 $|10.0 - 10.000013| = 0.000013 < c$

Thus: **"equal"**

(Remark: on this slide = is meant in the mathematical sense.)

Exercise

Write the following function:

```
// POST: returns true if and only if
//      |x - y| < tol
bool equals (double x, double y, double tol) {
    ...
}
```

Exercise

For example:

```
// POST: returns true if and only if
//      |x - y| < tol
bool equals (double x, double y, double tol) {
    double diff = x - y;
    if (diff < 0)
        diff *= -1; // absolute value
    return diff < tol;
}
```

Remark

- Comparing absolute differences with a tolerance value is a great first idea!
- (But: for example problems when the numbers are large.)

Exam Question

YOUR EXAM MIGHT DIFFER

Geben Sie ein möglichst knappes normalisiertes Fließkommazahlensystem an, mit welchem sich die folgenden dezimalen Werte gerade noch genau darstellen lassen: jede Verkleinerung von p , e_{\max} oder $-e_{\min}$ muss dazu führen, dass mindestens eine Zahl nicht mehr dargestellt werden kann.

Hinweis: p zählt auch die führende Ziffer.

Tipp: Schreiben Sie sich die normalisierte Binärzahldarstellung der Werte auf, wenn sie für Sie nicht offensichtlich ist.

Provide a smallest possible normalized floating point number system that can still represent the following values exactly: any decrease of the numbers p , e_{\max} or $-e_{\min}$ must imply that at least one of the numbers cannot be represented any more.

Hint: p does also count the leading digit.

Tip: Write down the normalized binary representation of the values, if it is not obvious for you.

Werte / *Values*: 2.25, $\frac{1}{8}$, 0.5, 16.5, 2^3

$F^*(\beta, p, e_{\min}, e_{\max})$ mit / *with*

$\beta = 2$, $p =$, $e_{\min} =$, $e_{\max} =$.

Exam Question

YOUR EXAM MIGHT DIFFER

Geben Sie ein möglichst knappes normalisiertes Fließkommazahlensystem an, mit welchem sich die folgenden dezimalen Werte gerade noch genau darstellen lassen: jede Verkleinerung von p , e_{\max} oder $-e_{\min}$ muss dazu führen, dass mindestens eine Zahl nicht mehr dargestellt werden kann.

Hinweis: p zählt auch die führende Ziffer.

Tipp: Schreiben Sie sich die normalisierte Binärzahlendarstellung der Werte auf, wenn sie für Sie nicht offensichtlich ist.

Provide a smallest possible normalized floating point number system that can still represent the following values exactly: any decrease of the numbers p , e_{\max} or $-e_{\min}$ must imply that at least one of the numbers cannot be represented any more.

Hint: p does also count the leading digit.

Tip: Write down the normalized binary representation of the values, if it is not obvious for you.

Werte / *Values*: 2.25, $\frac{1}{8}$, 0.5, 16.5, 2^3

$F^*(\beta, p, e_{\min}, e_{\max})$ mit / *with*

$\beta = 2$, $p = 6$, $e_{\min} = -3$, $e_{\max} = 4$.

9. Outro

General Questions?

Till next time!

Cheers!