ETHzürich

Exercise Session 11 – DP and Flow Algos **Data Structures and Algorithms** *These slides are based on those of the lecture, but were adapted and extended by the teaching assistant Adel Gavranović*

Today's Schedule

Intro Feedback for **code** expert MaxFlow Old Exam Questions (Max-Flow) Dynamic Programming Overlap of Convex Polygons In-Class Code-Example Outro

n.ethz.ch/~agavranovic

Exercise Session Material

Adel's Webpage

Comic of the Week

1. Intro

Intro

- \blacksquare Often explaining stuff via email is suboptimal
- Consider going to the Study Center (especially if it's related to exercises!)
	- \blacksquare Thursdays
	- \Box 08:15 10:00
	- ML H 41.1

2. Feedback for **code** expert

your boi has his own exams

■ You can submit your partial solutions too!

1 your boi has his own exams

- You can submit your partial solutions too!
- If you want feedback, please make sure to indicate *what part* of your code you want me to have a closer look at or what *you think* the problem is

- You can submit your partial solutions too!
- If you want feedback, please make sure to indicate *what part* of your code you want me to have a closer look at or what *you think* the problem is
- \blacksquare I'm not going to be very responsive in the Lernphase¹ so better ask now

- You can submit your partial solutions too!
- If you want feedback, please make sure to indicate *what part* of your code you want me to have a closer look at or what *you think* the problem is
- \blacksquare I'm not going to be very responsive in the Lernphase¹ so better ask now
- Scores for exercises with (pseudo)random stuff can vary. So occasionally, it makes sense to just re-test the same code

¹ your boi has his own exams

Questions regarding **code** expert from your side?

A **Flow** $f: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ fulfills the following conditions:

A **Flow** $f: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ fulfills the following conditions:

Bounded Capacity:

A **Flow** $f: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ fulfills the following conditions:

Bounded Capacity:

For all $u, v \in V$: $f(u, v) \le c(u, v)$.

A **Flow** $f: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ fulfills the following conditions:

- **Bounded Capacity:** For all $u, v \in V$: $f(u, v) \le c(u, v)$.
- Skew Symmetry:

A **Flow** $f: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ fulfills the following conditions:

■ Bounded Capacity: For all $u, v \in V$: $f(u, v) \le c(u, v)$.

Skew Symmetry:

For all $u, v \in V$: $f(u, v) = -f(v, u)$.

A **Flow** $f: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ fulfills the following conditions:

- Bounded Capacity: For all $u, v \in V$: $f(u, v) \le c(u, v)$.
- Skew Symmetry: For all $u, v \in V$: $f(u, v) = -f(v, u)$.
- \blacksquare Conservation of flow:

A **Flow** $f: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ fulfills the following conditions:

- \blacksquare Bounded Capacity: For all $u, v \in V$: $f(u, v) \leq c(u, v)$.
- Skew Symmetry:

For all $u, v \in V$: $f(u, v) = -f(v, u)$.

 \blacksquare Conservation of flow:

For all $u \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$:

A **Flow** $f: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ fulfills the following conditions:

- \blacksquare Bounded Capacity: For all $u, v \in V$: $f(u, v) \leq c(u, v)$.
- Skew Symmetry: For all $u, v \in V$: $f(u, v) = -f(v, u)$.
- \blacksquare Conservation of flow:

For all $u \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$:

$$
\sum_{v \in V} f(v, u) = \sum_{v \in V} f(u, v).
$$

A **Flow** $f: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ fulfills the following conditions:

- **Bounded Capacity:** For all $u, v \in V$: $f(u, v) \le c(u, v)$.
- Skew Symmetry: For all $u, v \in V$: $f(u, v) = -f(v, u)$.
- \blacksquare Conservation of flow:
	- For all $u \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$:

$$
\sum_{v \in V} f(v, u) = \sum_{v \in V} f(u, v).
$$

Value of the flow:

A **Flow** $f: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ fulfills the following conditions:

- **Bounded Capacity:** For all $u, v \in V$: $f(u, v) \leq c(u, v)$.
- Skew Symmetry: For all $u, v \in V$: $f(u, v) = -f(v, u)$.
- \blacksquare Conservation of flow:

For all $u \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$:

$$
\sum_{v \in V} f(v, u) = \sum_{v \in V} f(u, v).
$$

Value of the flow: $|f| = \sum_{v \in V} f(s, v).$ $|f| = 18.$

Residual Network

Residual network *G^f* provided by the edges with positive residual capacity: $(6/9)$ 12/12 *v*3 v_1 Y 16/8 20/14 Δ 4/4 7/6 *s t* S 9/4 13/10 4/4 *v*4 $v₂$ 14/10

Residual networks provide the same kind of properties as flow networks with the exception of permitting antiparallel edges

Residual Network

Residual network *G^f* provided by the edges with positive residual capacity:

Residual networks provide the same kind of properties as flow networks with the exception of permitting antiparallel edges

Expansion Path *p*: simple path from *s* to *t* in the residual network *G^f* . **Residual Capacity** $c_f(p)$: the least capacity along the expansion path p

$$
c_f(p) = \min\{c_f(u, v) : (u, v) \text{ edge in } p\}
$$

Algorithm Ford-Fulkerson(*G, s, t*)

Input: Flow network $G = (V, E, c)$ Output: Maximal flow *f*.

for $(u, v) \in E$ do $f(u, v) \leftarrow 0$

while Exists path $p : s \rightarrow t$ in residual network G_f do

```
c_f(p) \leftarrow \min\{c_f(u, v) : (u, v) \in p\}foreach (u, v) \in p do
if (u, v) \in E then
     f(u, v) \leftarrow f(u, v) + c_f(p)else
     f(v, u) \leftarrow f(u, v) - c_f(p)
```


Choose in the Ford-Fulkerson-Method for finding a path in *G^f* the expansion path of shortest possible length (e.g. with BFS)

Theorem 1

When the Edmonds-Karp algorithm is applied to some integer valued flow network $G = (V, E)$ *with source s* and sink *t* then the number of *flow increases applied by the algorithm is in* $\mathcal{O}(|V| \cdot |E|)$

 \Rightarrow Overall asymptotic runtime: $\mathcal{O}(|V| \cdot |E|^2)$

Theorem 2

Let f be a flow in a flow network $G = (V, E, c)$ *with source s and sink t. The following statements are equivalent:*

- 1. *f is a maximal flow in G*
- 2. *The residual network G^f does not provide any expansion paths*
- 3. It holds that $|f| = c(S,T)$ for a cut (S,T) of G.

(**Hint:** This one is *really* important)

Application: maximal bipartite matching

Given: bipartite undirected graph $G = (V, E)$. *Matching M:* $M \subseteq E$ such that $|\{m \in M : v \in m\}| \leq 1$ for all $v \in V$. Maximal Matching M : Matching M , such that $|M| \geq |M'|$ for each matching M^{\prime} .

Manual Max Flow Exercise

This graph shows a flow chart that is not at maximum capacity. Run one iteration of the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm to find the max flow.

Manual Max Flow Solution

update not shown since it is not unique!

4. Old Exam Questions (Max-Flow)

Exam Question Example

Gegeben ist das folgende Flussnetzwerk G mit Quelle s und Senke t. Die einzelnen Kapazitäten c_i und Flüsse ϕ_i sind an den Kanten angegeben als $\phi_i|_{C_i}$. Geben Sie den Wert des Flusses f an.

Provided in the following is a flow network G with source s and sink t . Capacities c_i and flows ϕ_i are provided at the edges as $\phi_i|c_i$. Provide the value of the flow f.

$$
|f| = \boxed{}
$$

Exam Question Example

Gegeben ist das folgende Flussnetzwerk G mit Quelle s und Senke t. Die einzelnen Kapazitäten c_i und Flüsse ϕ_i sind an den Kanten angegeben als $\phi_i|_{C_i}$. Geben Sie den Wert des Flusses f an.

Provided in the following is a flow network G with source s and sink t . Capacities c_i and flows ϕ_i are provided at the edges as $\phi_i|c_i$. Provide the value of the flow f.

$$
|f| = \begin{array}{|c|} 6 \\ 6 \end{array}
$$
Exam Question Example

Zeichnen Sie nun das Restnetzwerk G_f zu obigem Fluss und markieren Sie darin einen Erweiterungspfad p . Geben Sie den Wert $c_f(p)$ der Restkapazität des Erweiterungspfades p im Restnetzwerk G_f an.

Draw the residual network G_f to the flow above and mark an augmenting path p . Provide the rest capacity $c_f(p)$ of the path p in the rest network G_f .

Exam Question Example

Zeichnen Sie nun das Restnetzwerk G_f zu obigem Fluss und markieren Sie darin einen Erweiterungspfad p . Geben Sie den Wert $c_f(p)$ der Restkapazität des Erweiterungspfades p im Restnetzwerk G_f an.

Draw the residual network G_f to the flow above and mark an augmenting path p . Provide the rest capacity $c_f(p)$ of the path p in the rest network G_f .

$$
|c_f(p)|=\boxed{1}
$$

Woran erkennen Sie, ob Sie den maximalen Fluss gefunden haben?

How do you see if you have found the maximum flow?

Woran erkennen Sie, ob Sie den maximalen Fluss gefunden haben?

How do you see if you have found the $maximum flow$?

```
Found the maximum flow if:The residual network does not have any more augmenting path.
Alternative: Identify a cut with |c(S,T)| = |f|.
```
Max Flow Question

Let an $n \times n$ chessboard be given without some squares. Describe an efficient algorithm to find out if the board can be completely covered with dominoes. Then model the problem as a flow problem.

- 1. Divide a complex problem into a reasonable number of sub-problems; Partial solutions are combined to more complex ones
	- = Top-down recursion ("assume the subproblems")

- 1. Divide a complex problem into a reasonable number of sub-problems; Partial solutions are combined to more complex ones = Top-down recursion ("assume the subproblems")
- 2. Identical problems will be computed only once
	- = Memoization

- 1. Divide a complex problem into a reasonable number of sub-problems; Partial solutions are combined to more complex ones = Top-down recursion ("assume the subproblems")
- 2. Identical problems will be computed only once
	- = Memoization
		- The idea is to simply **store the results of subproblems** so that we do not have to re-compute them when needed later.

- 1. Divide a complex problem into a reasonable number of sub-problems; Partial solutions are combined to more complex ones = Top-down recursion ("assume the subproblems")
- 2. Identical problems will be computed only once
	- = Memoization
		- The idea is to simply **store the results of subproblems** so that we do not have to re-compute them when needed later.
- 3. Eliminate recursion
	- = Bottom-up algorithms ("combine the subproblems")

- 1. Divide a complex problem into a reasonable number of sub-problems; Partial solutions are combined to more complex ones = Top-down recursion ("assume the subproblems")
- 2. Identical problems will be computed only once
	- = Memoization
		- The idea is to simply **store the results of subproblems** so that we do not have to re-compute them when needed later.
- 3. Eliminate recursion
	- = Bottom-up algorithms ("combine the subproblems")
- Optionally, not always possible: Save space by storing as little as possible in the DP table

Question: Which of the following Fibonacci implementations would perform better?

Question: Which of the following Fibonacci implementations would perform better?

```
int fib(int n) {
  if (n \leq 1) {
    return n;
  }
  return fib(n - 1) +fib(n - 2);}
```

```
int fib2(int n) {
 std::vector<int> f(n+1);
 f[0] = 0;
 f[1] = 1;for(int i=2;i<=n;++i){
   f[i] = f[i-1] + f[i-2];}
 return f[n];
}
```

```
int fib3(int n) {
 if (n <= 1) {
   return n;
 }
 int a = 0;
 int b = 1;
 for(int i=2;i<=n;++i){
   int a_old = a;
    a = b:
    b \neq a old:
 }
 return b;
}
```
In both cases the original problem can be solved (more easily) by utilizing the solutions of sub-problems. The problem provides **optimal** substructure.

- In both cases the original problem can be solved (more easily) by utilizing the solutions of sub-problems. The problem provides **optimal** substructure.
- Divide-And-Conquer algorithms (such as Mergesort): *sub-problems are independent*; their solutions are required only once in the algorithm.

- In both cases the original problem can be solved (more easily) by utilizing the solutions of sub-problems. The problem provides **optimal** substructure.
- Divide-And-Conquer algorithms (such as Mergesort): *sub-problems are independent*; their solutions are required only once in the algorithm.
- Dynamic Programming: *sub-problems are dependent*. The problem is said to have **overlapping sub-problems** that are required multiple-times in the algorithm.

- In both cases the original problem can be solved (more easily) by utilizing the solutions of sub-problems. The problem provides **optimal** substructure.
- Divide-And-Conquer algorithms (such as Mergesort): *sub-problems are independent*; their solutions are required only once in the algorithm.
- Dynamic Programming: *sub-problems are dependent*. The problem is said to have **overlapping sub-problems** that are required multiple-times in the algorithm.
- In order to avoid redundant computations, results are tabulated. For sub-problems there must not be any circular dependencies.

Memoization:

Memoization:

- Top-down approach
- \blacksquare Recursion with caching of results
- Lazily computes values on-demand
- \blacksquare Can be more efficient if only a few values are needed

Dynamic Programming:

Memoization:

- Top-down approach
- \blacksquare Recursion with caching of results
- Lazily computes values on-demand
- \blacksquare Can be more efficient if only a few values are needed

Dynamic Programming:

- \blacksquare Iterative bottom-up approach
- Builds solutions from smaller subproblems
- Computes all values in a predefined order
- \blacksquare Can be more efficient if all values are needed

Problem Without Optimal Substructure

Question: Problem Without Optimal Substructure?

Problem Without Optimal Substructure

Question: Problem Without Optimal Substructure?

Example: Longest (simple) path

Problem Without Optimal Substructure

Question: Problem Without Optimal Substructure?

Example: Longest (simple) path

Longest path from, e.g. a to e is a, b, c, d, e , i.e. via c

Longest path from, e.g. *a* to *e* is *a, b, c, d, e*, i.e. via *c* $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

But the longest path from *a* to *c* is *not a, b, c* (and analogously for *c* to *e*)

- Longest path from, e.g. *a* to *e* is *a, b, c, d, e*, i.e. via *c*
- But the longest path from *a* to *c* is *not a, b, c* (and analogously for *c* to *e*)
- \Rightarrow Combining optimal subsolutions does not yield an optimal overall solution

- Longest path from, e.g. *a* to *e* is *a, b, c, d, e*, i.e. via *c*
- But the longest path from *a* to *c* is *not a, b, c* (and analogously for *c* to *e*)
- \Rightarrow Combining optimal subsolutions does not yield an optimal overall solution
- \Rightarrow This problem does not have optimal substructure

Question

In which of the following cases might memoization be significantly more efficient than dynamic programming?

- 1. When all values are required for the final result
- 2. When only a few values are required for the final result
- 3. When the problem has overlapping subproblems
- 4. When the problem can be solved iteratively

Answer

Memoization might be significantly more efficient than dynamic programming when only a few values are required for the final result (option 2).

A complete description of a dynamic program **always** consists of:

A complete description of a dynamic program **always** consists of:

Definition of the subproblems / the DP table:

A complete description of a dynamic program **always** consists of:

■ Definition of the subproblems / the DP table:

What are the dimensions of the table? What is the meaning of each entry?

A complete description of a dynamic program **always** consists of:

■ Definition of the subproblems / the DP table:

What are the dimensions of the table? What is the meaning of each entry?

Recursion: Computation of an entry:
A complete description of a dynamic program **always** consists of:

■ Definition of the subproblems / the DP table:

What are the dimensions of the table? What is the meaning of each entry?

Recursion: Computation of an entry:

How can an entry be computed from the values of other entries? Which entries do not depend on others?

A complete description of a dynamic program **always** consists of:

■ Definition of the subproblems / the DP table:

What are the dimensions of the table? What is the meaning of each entry?

Recursion: Computation of an entry:

How can an entry be computed from the values of other entries? Which entries do not depend on others?

Computation order (topological order):

A complete description of a dynamic program **always** consists of:

■ Definition of the subproblems / the DP table:

What are the dimensions of the table? What is the meaning of each entry?

Recursion: Computation of an entry:

How can an entry be computed from the values of other entries? Which entries do not depend on others?

Computation order (topological order):

In which order can entries be computed so that values needed for each entry have been determined in previous steps?

A complete description of a dynamic program **always** consists of:

■ Definition of the subproblems / the DP table:

What are the dimensions of the table? What is the meaning of each entry?

Recursion: Computation of an entry:

How can an entry be computed from the values of other entries? Which entries do not depend on others?

Computation order (topological order):

In which order can entries be computed so that values needed for each entry have been determined in previous steps?

Solution and Running Time:

A complete description of a dynamic program **always** consists of:

■ Definition of the subproblems / the DP table:

What are the dimensions of the table? What is the meaning of each entry?

Recursion: Computation of an entry:

How can an entry be computed from the values of other entries? Which entries do not depend on others?

Computation order (topological order):

In which order can entries be computed so that values needed for each entry have been determined in previous steps?

Solution and Running Time:

How can the final solution be extracted once the table has been filled? Running time of the DP algorithm.

Choose which characteristics a problem needs to have for a dynamic programming approach to be appropriate:

- Optimal substructure
- Real-time problem-solving
- Independent sub-problems
- \blacksquare Memory-efficient solution
- **Recursive structure**
- Overlapping sub-problems
- Circular dependencies
- **Tabulation or memoization** potential
- Small state space

Choose which characteristics a problem needs to have for a dynamic programming approach to be appropriate:

Optimal substructure

- \blacksquare Real-time problem-solving
- Independent sub-problems
- \blacksquare (Memory-efficient solution \clubsuit)
- **Recursive structure**
- **Overlapping sub-problems** \blacksquare Circular dependencies
- **Tabulation or memoization potential**
- Small state space

Example: Coin Change Problem

Definition

Given a set of coin denominations and a target amount, find the minimum number of coins needed to make the target amount. Note that the same coin denomination can be used more than once.

Example: Coin Change Problem

Definition

Given a set of coin denominations and a target amount, find the minimum number of coins needed to make the target amount. Note that the same coin denomination can be used more than once.

Example

Given coins = $[1, 2, 4]$ and target amount = 8, the solution is 2 (4 + 4).

Example: Coin Change Problem

Definition

Given a set of coin denominations and a target amount, find the minimum number of coins needed to make the target amount. Note that the same coin denomination can be used more than once.

Example

Given coins = $[1, 2, 4]$ and target amount = 8, the solution is 2 (4 + 4).

Remark

When the problem does not have a solution, the algorithm returns -1.

Coin Change Problem

Task Design a recursive algorithm to solve the task.

Coin Change: Recursive Solution

```
int coinChange(const std::vector<int>& coins, int amount) {
    if (\text{amount} == 0) {
        return 0;
    }
    int minCoins = INT_MAX;
    for (int coin : coins) {
        if (amount - coin >= 0) {
            int temp = coinChange(coins, amount - coin);
            if (temp != -1) {
                minCoins = std::min(minCoins, temp + 1);}
        }
    }
    return minCoins == INT_MAX ? -1 : minCoins;
}
```
Coin Change: Recursive Solution

```
int coinChange(const std::vector<int>& coins, int amount) {
    if (\text{amount} == 0) {
        return 0;
    }
    int minCoins = INT_MAX;
    for (int coin : coins) {
        if (amount - coin >= 0) {
            int temp = coinChange(coins, amount - coin);
            if (temp != -1) {
                minCoins = std::min(minCoins, temp + 1);}
        }
    }
    return minCoins == INT_MAX ? -1 : minCoins;
}
```
Coin Change Problem

Task Design a DP algorithm to solve the task.

Coin Change: Dynamic Programming

We can use dynamic programming to solve this problem by building a one-dimensional array where dp[i] represents the minimum number of coins required to make the amount *i*:

Set each element in dp to a value larger than the maximum possible number of coins.

 \blacksquare Set dp [0] = 0. Indep of all other entries!

For each coin c, iterate through the array and update dp[i] if dp[i-c]+1 has a lower value.

```
int coinChange(const std::vector<int>& coins, int amount) {
    std::vector<int> dp(amount + 1, amount + 1);
    dp[0] = 0;for (int coin : coins) {
        for (int i = coin; i \le amount; +i) {
           \blacksquaredp[i] = std::min(dp[i], dp[i - coin] + 1);
        }
    }
    return dp[amount] \leq amount ? dp[amount] : -1;}
```
Coin Change: DP Visualisation

$$
\hat{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{Y}}
$$
\n
$$
\text{dp[i]} = \text{std: min}\left(\text{dp[i]}, \text{dp[i} - \text{Coif]} + 1\right)
$$
\n
$$
\text{Coins: [1, 2, 4] Target: 8}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\text{i} \quad 0}{\text{dp[i]} \quad 0} \times 2 \times \infty \times \infty \times \infty \times \infty \times \infty
$$
\n
$$
\text{Initial state of the dp array. Note that we use } \infty \text{ instead of amount+1.}
$$
\n
$$
\text{dp[1]} = \text{min} \left(\text{eq} \times \text{log} \times \
$$

 $dp[i] = std: min(dp[i], dp[i - coin] + 1);$ Coins: $[1, 2, 4]$ Target: 8 i $|0|1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8$ dp[i] $| 0 | \bullet | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8$ After processing the first coin. $d\rho$ [2] \leftarrow wh $\left(d\rho$ [2], $d\rho$ [2-2] + 1] = 1

Coin Change: DP Visualisation

After processing the second coin.

After processing the third and last coin. Answer: $dp[8] = 2$.

Coin Change: Time Complexity

Task

Compare the time complexity of the DP algorithm with that of the naive recursive algorithm

Coin Change: Time Complexity

Task

Compare the time complexity of the DP algorithm with that of the naive recursive algorithm

Naive Algorithm

The naive algorithm has an exponential time complexity of $O(c^n)$, where *c* is the number of coin denominations and *n* is the target amount.

Dynamic Programming Algorithm

The dynamic programming algorithm has a polynomial time complexity of $O(c \cdot n)$, where *c* is the number of coin denominations and *n* is the target amount.

6. Overlap of Convex Polygons

Overlap of Convex Polygons – Issues

Overlap of Convex Polygons – Issues

Main issue with most solutions

You sorted the given polygon points $(O(n \log n))$ instead of using the fact that they were given in partly sorted order!

- \blacksquare The **Event Points** are the 2*n* points of the convex polygons, sorted by their *x*-coord
	- They can be stored in a sorted array by merging the sequences p_1, \ldots, p_n and *q*1*,...,qⁿ* (given in counterclockwise sorting starting with the left-most point)

- \blacksquare The **Event Points** are the 2*n* points of the convex polygons, sorted by their *x*-coord
	- They can be stored in a sorted array by merging the sequences p_1, \ldots, p_n and *q*1*,...,qⁿ* (given in counterclockwise sorting starting with the left-most point)
- Split each sequence into increasing and decreasing subsequences, then merge the increasing subsequences and the reversed decreasing subsequences

- \blacksquare The **Event Points** are the 2*n* points of the convex polygons, sorted by their *x*-coord
	- They can be stored in a sorted array by merging the sequences p_1, \ldots, p_n and *q*1*,...,qⁿ* (given in counterclockwise sorting starting with the left-most point)
- Split each sequence into increasing and decreasing subsequences, then merge the increasing subsequences and the reversed decreasing subsequences
	- Store the polygon info and incident line segments for each point

- The **Event Points** are the 2*n* points of the convex polygons, sorted by their *x*-coord
	- They can be stored in a sorted array by merging the sequences p_1, \ldots, p_n and *q*1*,...,qⁿ* (given in counterclockwise sorting starting with the left-most point)
- Split each sequence into increasing and decreasing subsequences, then merge the increasing subsequences and the reversed decreasing subsequences
	- Store the polygon info and incident line segments for each point

This step can be completed in $\Theta(n)$ time!

7. In-Class Code-Example

Code-Examples: Memoization and DP

Memoization and DP: Maximum Sum of an Increasing Subsequence $→$ **code** expert

General Questions?

See you next time

Have a nice week!