

Exercise Session — Computer Science — 12

Pointer Arithmetic, Memory Management

Overview

Today's Plan

Pointers

Example: Pointers on Arrays


Example: Special Copy

Exercise "Push Back"

Memory Management



`n.ethz.ch/~iopopa`

 [Link to Webpage](#)

 [Send an e-Mail](#)

Follow-up from last session

- **Can we manually delete memory pointed to by a Smart Pointer?**
- No, because smart pointers automatically manage the memory they own and delete it when they go out of scope or when the reference count drops to zero.

Follow-up from last session

- **Can we manually delete memory pointed to by a Smart Pointer?**
- No, because smart pointers automatically manage the memory they own and delete it when they go out of scope or when the reference count drops to zero.
- If you manually delete memory managed by a smart pointer, the smart pointer will attempt to delete the same memory again when it goes out of scope, resulting in undefined behavior.

Follow-up from last session

Main disadvantages of smart pointers

Follow-up from last session

Main disadvantages of smart pointers

■ Compatibility Issues

- Smart pointers might not always be compatible with libraries that expect raw pointers or use their own memory management schemes.
- Converting between smart pointers and raw pointers (`.get()`) can introduce risks if not handled properly.

Follow-up from last session

Main disadvantages of smart pointers

■ Compatibility Issues

- Smart pointers might not always be compatible with libraries that expect raw pointers or use their own memory management schemes.
- Converting between smart pointers and raw pointers (`.get()`) can introduce risks if not handled properly.

■ Unnecessary Overhead for Simple Cases

Follow-up from last session

Main disadvantages of smart pointers

■ Compatibility Issues

- Smart pointers might not always be compatible with libraries that expect raw pointers or use their own memory management schemes.
- Converting between smart pointers and raw pointers (.get()) can introduce risks if not handled properly.

■ Unnecessary Overhead for Simple Cases

■ Performance Overhead

1. Pointers

new VS new []

- `new T` allocates **one** space in memory for the specified type

¹this memory will be *contiguous*, i.e. "next to each other in memory"

new VS new []

- **new** T allocates **one** space in memory for the specified type
- **new** T[n] allocates n spaces in memory for the specified type¹

¹this memory will be *contiguous*, i.e. "next to each other in memory"

new VS new []

- **new** T allocates **one** space in memory for the specified type
- **new** T[n] allocates n spaces in memory for the specified type¹
- Both return a pointer which points to the (first) element of the range

¹this memory will be *contiguous*, i.e. "next to each other in memory"

Arrays

Statically allocated array

Arrays

Statically allocated array

```
int myStatArr[3] = {2, 3, 8};
```

- myStatArr now points to the

Arrays

Statically allocated array

```
int myStatArr[3] = {2, 3, 8};
```

- myStatArr now points to the 2
- *myStatArr returns

Arrays

Statically allocated array

```
int myStatArr[3] = {2, 3, 8};
```

- `myStatArr` now points to the 2
- `*myStatArr` returns 2
- `myStatArr[2]` returns

Arrays

Statically allocated array

```
int myStatArr[3] = {2, 3, 8};
```

- `myStatArr` now points to the 2
- `*myStatArr` returns 2
- `myStatArr[2]` returns 8
- `myStatArr[1]` = -4

Arrays

Statically allocated array

```
int myStatArr[3] = {2, 3, 8};
```

- `myStatArr` now points to the 2
- `*myStatArr` returns 2
- `myStatArr[2]` returns 8
- `myStatArr[1] = -4` sets 3 to -4

Arrays

Statically allocated array

```
int myStatArr[3] = {2, 3, 8};
```

- `myStatArr` now points to the 2
- `*myStatArr` returns 2
- `myStatArr[2]` returns 8
- `myStatArr[1] = -4` sets 3 to -4

Dynamically allocated arrays

Arrays

Statically allocated array

```
int myStatArr[3] = {2, 3, 8};
```

- myStatArr now points to the 2
- *myStatArr returns 2
- myStatArr[2] returns 8
- myStatArr[1] = -4 sets 3 to -4

Dynamically allocated arrays

```
int* myDynArr = new int[3]{2, 3, 8};
```

Arrays

Statically allocated array

```
int myStatArr[3] = {2, 3, 8};
```

- myStatArr now points to the 2
- *myStatArr returns 2
- myStatArr[2] returns 8
- myStatArr[1] = -4 sets 3 to -4

Dynamically allocated arrays

```
int* myDynArr = new int[3]{2, 3, 8};
```

- myDynArr now points to the

Arrays

Statically allocated array

```
int myStatArr[3] = {2, 3, 8};
```

- `myStatArr` now points to the 2
- `*myStatArr` returns 2
- `myStatArr[2]` returns 8
- `myStatArr[1] = -4` sets 3 to -4

Dynamically allocated arrays

```
int* myDynArr = new int[3]{2, 3, 8};
```

- `myDynArr` now points to the 2
- `*myDynArr` returns

Arrays

Statically allocated array

```
int myStatArr[3] = {2, 3, 8};
```

- `myStatArr` now points to the 2
- `*myStatArr` returns 2
- `myStatArr[2]` returns 8
- `myStatArr[1] = -4` sets 3 to -4

Dynamically allocated arrays

```
int* myDynArr = new int[3]{2, 3, 8};
```

- `myDynArr` now points to the 2
- `*myDynArr` returns 2
- `myDynArr[2]` returns

Arrays

Statically allocated array

```
int myStatArr[3] = {2, 3, 8};
```

- `myStatArr` now points to the 2
- `*myStatArr` returns 2
- `myStatArr[2]` returns 8
- `myStatArr[1] = -4` sets 3 to -4

Dynamically allocated arrays

```
int* myDynArr = new int[3]{2, 3, 8};
```

- `myDynArr` now points to the 2
- `*myDynArr` returns 2
- `myDynArr[2]` returns 8
- `myDynArr[1] = -4`

Arrays

Statically allocated array

```
int myStatArr[3] = {2, 3, 8};
```

- `myStatArr` now points to the 2
- `*myStatArr` returns 2
- `myStatArr[2]` returns 8
- `myStatArr[1] = -4` sets 3 to -4

But what is the difference between them?

- Memory is allocated at compile time on the stack.
- The size of the array must be known at compile time and cannot be changed during runtime.

Dynamically allocated arrays

```
int* myDynArr = new int[3]{2, 3, 8};
```

- `myDynArr` now points to the 2
- `*myDynArr` returns 2
- `myDynArr[2]` returns 8
- `myDynArr[1] = -4` sets 3 to -4

- Memory is allocated at runtime on the heap using `new`.
- The size can be specified during runtime, allowing for more flexibility.

delete VS delete []

- We remember:

delete VS delete []

- We remember: every **new** needs a **delete**

delete VS delete []

- We remember: every **new** needs a **delete**
- **delete []** is the corresponding operator to **new []**

delete VS delete []

- We remember: every **new** needs a **delete**
- **delete []** is the corresponding operator to **new []**
- Be aware: We do not delete the pointer but the range of objects to which the pointer is pointing

delete VS delete []

- We remember: every `new` needs a `delete`
- `delete []` is the corresponding operator to `new []`
- Be aware: We do not delete the pointer but the range of objects to which the pointer is pointing
- **Common source of bugs**
Calling `delete` on the first element but not the entire array (with `delete []`)

Pointer Arithmetic

- We can do "pointer math"
- The most important instructions are:

Pointer Arithmetic

- We can do "pointer math"
- The most important instructions are:
- Temporary shifts

`ptr + 3`

`ptr - 3`

Pointer Arithmetic

- We can do "pointer math"
- The most important instructions are:

- Temporary shifts

```
ptr + 3
```

```
ptr - 3
```

- Permanent shifts

```
ptr++
```

```
--ptr
```

```
ptr += 2
```

Pointer Arithmetic

- We can do "pointer math"
- The most important instructions are:
 - Temporary shifts
 - `ptr + 3`
 - `ptr - 3`
 - Permanent shifts
 - `ptr++`
 - `--ptr`
 - `ptr += 2`
 - Determine distance between pointers
 - `ptr_1 - ptr_2`

Pointer Arithmetic

- We can do "pointer math"
- The most important instructions are:
 - Temporary shifts
 - `ptr + 3`
 - `ptr - 3`
 - Permanent shifts
 - `ptr++`
 - `--ptr`
 - `ptr += 2`
 - Determine distance between pointers
 - `ptr_1 - ptr_2`
 - Compare positions
 - `ptr_1 < ptr_2`
 - `ptr_1 != ptr_2`

Questions?

1. Pointers

1.1. Example: Pointers on Arrays

Pointer Arithmetic

```
int* a = new int[5]{0, 8, 7, 2, -1};
int* ptr = a;           // pointer assignment
++ptr;                 // shift to the right
int my_int = *ptr;     // read target
ptr += 2;              // shift by 2 elements
    // ^ Note how this does not simply "add 2" to the
    // underlying memory address, but instead adds the
    // appropriate amount to get to the integer variable
    // that is stored "2 ints further away"
*ptr = 18;             // overwrite target
int* past = a+5;
std::cout << (ptr < past) << "\n"; // compare pointers
```

Bug Hunt

Find and fix at least 3 problems in the following program

```
int* a = new int[7]{0, 6, 5, 3, 2, 4, 1};
int* b = new int[7];
int* c = b;

for (int* p = a; p <= a+7; ++p) { // copy a into b using pointers
    *c++ = *p;
}

for (int i = 0; i <= 7; ++i) { // cross-check with random access
    if (a[i] != c[i]) {
        std::cout << "Oops, copy error...\n";
    }
}
```

Bug Hunt

Find and fix at least 3 problems in the following program

```
int* a = new int[7]{0, 6, 5, 3, 2, 4, 1};
int* b = new int[7];
int* c = b;

for (int* p = a; p <= a+7; ++p) { // copy a into b using pointers
    *c++ = *p;
}

for (int i = 0; i <= 7; ++i) { // cross-check with random access
    if (a[i] != c[i]) {
        std::cout << "Oops, copy error...\n";
    }
}
```

Problems: p, i are dereferenced at a+7; c doesn't point to b[0] anymore!

Questions?

1. Pointers

1.2. Example: Special Copy

Special Copy?

```
// PRE: [b, e) and [o, o+(e-b)) are disjoint valid ranges
// POST: - - - - - TODO: determine it! - - - - -
//      - - - - -
void f (int* b, int* e, int* o) {
    while (b != e) {
        --e;
        *o = *e;
        ++o;
    }
}
```

Reverse Copy!

```
// PRE: [b, e) and [o, o+(e-b)) are disjoint valid ranges
// POST: The range [b, e) is copied in reverse order
//       into the range [o, o+(e-b))
void f (int* b, int* e, int* o) {
    while (b != e) {
        --e;
        *o = *e;
        ++o;
    }
}
```

Reverse Copy!

```
// PRE: [b, e) and [o, o+(e-b)) are disjoint valid ranges
// POST: The range [b, e) is copied in reverse order
//        into the range [o, o+(e-b))
void f (int* b, int* e, int* o) {
    while (b != e) {
        --e;
        *o = *e;
        ++o;
    }
}
```

Which of these inputs are valid after `int* a = new int[5];`?

a) `f(a, a+5, a+5)` b) `f(a, a+2, a+3)` c) `f(a, a+3, a+2)`

Reverse Copy!

```
// PRE: [b, e) and [o, o+(e-b)) are disjoint valid ranges
// POST: The range [b, e) is copied in reverse order
//        into the range [o, o+(e-b))
void f (int* b, int* e, int* o) {
    while (b != e) {
        --e;
        *o = *e;
        ++o;
    }
}
```

Which of these inputs are valid after `int* a = new int[5];`?

a) `f(a, a+5, a+5)` b) `f(a, a+2, a+3)` c) `f(a, a+3, a+2)`

Answer: b)

Questions?

Pointer Constness

There are two kinds of constness of pointers:

```
const int* ptr = &a;
```


Pointer Costness

There are two kinds of constness of pointers:

```
const int* ptr = &a;
```

no write-access to a

Pointer Costness

There are two kinds of constness of pointers:

```
const int* ptr = &a;
```

no write-access to a
i.e. we are *not* allowed to change
the value of the integer a

Pointer Costness

There are two kinds of constness of pointers:

```
const int* ptr = &a;
```

```
int* const ptr = &a;
```

no write-access to a
i.e. we are *not* allowed to change
the value of the integer a

Pointer Costness

There are two kinds of constness of pointers:

```
const int* ptr = &a;
```

no write-access to a
i.e. we are *not* allowed to change
the value of the integer a

```
int* const ptr = &a;
```

no write-access to ptr

Pointer Constness

There are two kinds of constness of pointers:

```
const int* ptr = &a;
```

no write-access to `a`
i.e. we are *not* allowed to change
the value of the integer `a`

```
int* const ptr = &a;
```

no write-access to `ptr`
i.e. we are not allowed to change
to where the pointer points

Questions?

2. Exercise "Push Back"

Exercise "Push Back"

- Open "Push Back" on **code expert**

Exercise "Push Back"

- Open "Push Back" on **code expert**
- Think about how you would approach the problem with pen and paper

Exercise "Push Back"

- Open "Push Back" on **code expert**
- Think about how you would approach the problem with pen and paper
- Implement a solution (optionally in groups)

Solution "Push Back"

```
// PRE:  source_begin points to first element to be copied;
//       source_ends points to element after the last element to be copied;
//       destination_begin points to first element of target memory block;
//       #elements in target memory location >= #elements in source;
// POST: copies the content of the source memory block to the destination
//       memory block.
void copy_range(const int* const source_begin,
               const int* const source_end,
               int* const destination_begin  ){

    int* dst = destination_begin;
    for (const int* src = source_begin; src != source_end; ++src) {
        *dst = *src;
        ++dst;
    }
}
```

Solution "Push Back"

```
void our_vector::push_back(int new_element) {
    // 1. Allocate a new memory block larger by one element
    unsigned int lenghtOfNewBlock = this->count + 1;
    int* const ptrToNewBlock = new int[lenghtOfNewBlock];

    // 2. Copy all the elements from the old memory block to the new one
    copy_range(this->elements, this->elements + count, ptrToNewBlock);

    // 3. Deallocate the old memory block
    delete[] this->elements;           // frees memory from old elements
    this->elements = ptrToNewBlock;    // redirects pointer to new block

    // 4. Add the new element at the end of the new memory block
    this->elements[count] = new_element;
    count++;                          // increment counter
}
```

Questions?

3. Memory Management

Bug Hunt I

```
// PRE: len is the length of the memory block that starts at array
void test1(int* array, int len) {
    int* fourth = array + 3;
    if (len > 3) {
        std::cout << *fourth << std::endl;
    }
    for (int* p = array; p != array + len; ++p) {
        std::cout << *p << std::endl;
    }
}
```

Find mistakes in the code and suggest fixes

Bug Hunt I — Dangerous Pointer

```
// PRE: len is the length of the memory block that starts at array
void test1(int* array, int len) {
    //int* fourth = array + 3;    // ERROR
    if (len > 3) {
        int* fourth = array + 3;    // OK
        std::cout << *fourth << std::endl;
    }
    for (int* p = array; p != array + len; ++p) {
        std::cout << *p << std::endl;
    }
}
```

Even if the pointer is not dereferenced, it must point into a memory block or to the element just after its end.

Bug Hunt II

```
// PRE: len >= 2
int* fib(int len) {
    int* array = new int[len];
    array[0] = 0; array[1] = 1;
    for (int* p = array+2; p < array + len; ++p) {
        *p = *(p-2) + *(p-1); }
    return array; }
void print(int* array, int len) {
    for (int* p = array+2; p < array + len; ++p) {
        std::cout << *p << " ";
    }
}
void test2(int len) {
    int* array = fib(len);
    print(array, len);
}
```

Bug Hunt II — Memory Leak

```
// PRE: len >= 2
int* fib(int len) {
    int* array = new int[len];
    array[0] = 0; array[1] = 1;
    for (int* p = array+2; p < array + len; ++p) {
        *p = *(p-2) + *(p-1); }
    return array; }

void print(int* array, int len) {
    for (int* p = array+2; p < array + len; ++p) {
        std::cout << *p << " ";
    }
}

void test2(int len) {
    int* array = fib(len);
    print(array, len);
    delete[] array;           // otherwise array is leaked!
}
```

Bug Hunt III

```
// PRE: len >= 2
int* fib(int len) {
    // ...
}
void print(int* m, int len) {
    for (int* p = m+2; p < m + len; ++p) {
        std::cout << *p << " ";
    }
    delete m;
}
void test2(int len) {
    int* array = fib(len);
    print(array, len);
    delete[] array;
}
```

Bug Hunt III — Double Free!

```
// PRE: len >= 2
int* fib(int len) {
    // ...
}

void print(int* m, int len) {
    for (int* p = m+2; p < m + len; ++p) {
        std::cout << *p << " ";
    }
    delete[] m;
}

void test2(int len) {
    int* array = fib(len);
    print(array, len);
    // delete[] array;           // array deallocated twice!
}
```

Questions?

4. Outro

General Questions?

See you next time

Have a nice week!