COULTING Worksheets Session 12 PProg Total questions: 9 Worksheet time: 16mins Instructor name: Mr. Jonas Wetzel	Name Class Date
<pre>1. public boolean add(T item) { 5 references int key = item.hashCode(); while (true) { Node pred = this.head; Node curr = pred.next; // traverse without locks while (curr.key < key) { pred = curr; curr = curr.next; } // when arrived, lock predecessor and successor pred.lock(); curr.lock(); try { // validate if still reachable if (validate(pred, curr)) {</pre>	

OPTIMISTIC implementation of ADD() method. Are bad interleavings possible?

- a) No, code is correct.
- c) Yes, because of an error in the code logic.
- e) Yes, because list is traversed without locks.
- b) Yes, because curr and pred are being unlocked in wrong order.
- d) Yes, because pred.next is set before entry.next.
- 2. What are advantages of lazy vs. optimistic synchronization?
 - a) Lazy sync. requires no lock acquisitions.
 - c) add()/remove() require less list traversals

```
3. synchronized public void push(Long item) {
   top = new Node(item, top);
   }
   synchronized public Long pop() {
    if (top == null)
        return null;
    Long item = top.item;
    top = top.next;
    return item;
   }
```

- b) add()/remove() require less lock acquisitions
- d) remove() is in O(1)

A stack with these push() and pop() operations is non-blocking.

Wait-Free implies Starvation-Free 4. a) True b) False 5. Lock-Free implies starvation-free. a) True b) False 6. Wait-Free implies Lock-Free a) True b) False 7. Lock-free implies deadlock-free. a) True b) False 1 private int factor = 1; 2 private final AtomicBoolean full = new AtomicBoolean(false); 8. 4 public void Update1(int multiplier) { s white (!full.compareAndSet(false, true)); 6 factor *= multiplier; //CS 7 full.set(false); s } The algorithm is lock-free. No assumptions about CS. a) True b) False c) It is incorrect due to a data race. 1 private final AtomicInteger factor = new AtomicInteger(1); 9. 3 boolean Update2(float multiplier, int retries) { 4 while (retries >= 0) { int val = factor.get();
int res = val * multiplier;
if (factor.compareAndSet(val, res)) { 、.actor.comp return true; } 9 10 11 --retries; } return false; 12 13 } The code is... (select all that apply) a) Deadlock-Free b) Lock-Free c) Starvation-Free d) Wait-Free e) Incorrect because of the ABA problem.

Answer Keys		
 d) Yes, because pred.next is set before entry.next. 	2. c) add()/remove() require less list traversals	3. b) False
4. a) True	5. b) False	6. a) True
7. a) True	8. b) False	9. a) Deadlock-, Lock-, Starvation-, W Free b) Free c) Free d) Fr