

5. Aufgabttyp: $R_{63}(100^{1207})$ (Hint: D find $R_3(100^{1207})$ and $R_3(100^{1207}) \Rightarrow 100^{1207} \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $R_3(100^{1207}) \Rightarrow 100^{1207} \equiv 3 \pmod{3}$. Since $\gcd(3, 9) = 1$, use CRT to find solution to $100^{1207} \equiv 3 \pmod{63}$ with $m_1 = 9, m_2 = 7, a_1 = 1, a_2 = 2 \Rightarrow M = 63, M_1 = \frac{M}{m_1} = 7, M_2 = \frac{M}{m_2} = 9, M_1 M_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{63} \Rightarrow M_1 = 4, \dots$

If $R_m(a^c) = 1$ then $R_m(a^n) = R_m(a^{R_m(n)})$

3.10: Prove that the composition of relations is associative (lem 3.5): $\rho(\sigma\phi) = (\rho\sigma)\phi$ states equality of sets. Suppose any $(a, d) \in \rho(\sigma\phi) \Rightarrow \exists b((a, b) \in \rho \wedge (b, d) \in \sigma\phi) \Rightarrow \exists b((a, b) \in \rho \wedge \exists c((b, c) \in \sigma \wedge (c, d) \in \phi)) \Rightarrow \exists b \exists c((a, b) \in \rho \wedge (b, c) \in \sigma \wedge (c, d) \in \phi) \Rightarrow \exists c \exists b((a, b) \in \rho \wedge (b, c) \in \sigma \wedge (c, d) \in \phi) \Rightarrow \exists c((a, c) \in \rho \wedge (c, d) \in \phi) \Rightarrow (a, d) \in (\rho\sigma)\phi$

3.11: A relation ρ is transitive $\Leftrightarrow \rho^2 \subseteq \rho$: ($\Rightarrow$): If $a\rho b \wedge b\rho c$ then $a\rho^2 c$, then for also $a\rho c$ since we assume $\rho^2 \subseteq \rho$. This means transitivity. ($\Leftarrow$): Assume $a\rho^2 b$ for some a, b . The Def. of $\rho^2 = (\rho \circ \rho)$ implies there exists c , s.t. $a\rho c$ and $c\rho b$, the assumed trans. of ρ thus implies that $a\rho b$ which concludes the proof

3.12: Intersection of two equivalence rel. is equiv. rel.: Suppose ρ, σ are equiv. rel. on set A . $\rho \subseteq A \times A, \sigma \subseteq A \times A \Rightarrow \rho \cap \sigma \subseteq A \times A$ (is also rel. on A) (Ref.): Let $a \in A$, since ρ, σ are equiv. rel., we have $\forall a((a, a) \in \rho \wedge (a, a) \in \sigma) \Rightarrow \forall a((a, a) \in (\rho \cap \sigma))$ (Def. of $\cap$) (Sym.): Let $a, b \in A$, s.t. $(a, b) \in \rho \cap \sigma \Rightarrow (a, b) \in \rho \wedge (a, b) \in \sigma \Rightarrow (b, a) \in \rho \wedge (b, a) \in \sigma \Rightarrow (b, a) \in (\rho \cap \sigma)$ (trans.): Let $a, b, c \in A$, s.t. $(a, b) \in \rho \cap \sigma \wedge (b, c) \in \rho \cap \sigma \Rightarrow (a, b) \in \rho \wedge (b, c) \in \rho$ and $(a, b) \in \sigma \wedge (b, c) \in \sigma \Rightarrow (a, c) \in \rho \wedge (a, c) \in \sigma \Rightarrow (a, c) \in \rho \cap \sigma$. Hence we have shown that if ρ, σ are equiv. rel., so is $\rho \cap \sigma$

3.13: $f(A \cap B) = f(A) \cap f(B) \Leftrightarrow f$ is injective: ($\Rightarrow$): Let arb. $a, b \in X, a \neq b$. We define $\{a\} = A, \{b\} = B \Rightarrow \{a\} \cap \{b\} = \emptyset \Rightarrow f(\{a\} \cap \{b\}) = \emptyset \Rightarrow f(\{a\}) \cap f(\{b\}) = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow f(a) \neq f(b) \Rightarrow f$ is injective ($\Leftarrow$): Let $A \cap B \neq \emptyset, x \in f(A \cap B), f(y) = x, y \in A \cap B \Rightarrow y \in A \wedge y \in B \Rightarrow f(y) \in f(A) \wedge f(y) \in f(B) \Rightarrow x \in f(A) \wedge x \in f(B) \Rightarrow x \in f(A) \cap f(B)$ (noch unklar zeigen)

3.14: If $g \circ f$ is injective and f is surjective then g is injective: Let $f: A \rightarrow B, g: B \rightarrow C$ be functions. Let $x, y \in B$ s.t. $g(x) = g(y)$. Since f is surjective there exists two $a, b \in A$, s.t. $f(a) = x, f(b) = y \Rightarrow g(f(a)) = g(f(b)) \Rightarrow g \circ f(a) = g \circ f(b) \Rightarrow a = b$ (since $g \circ f$ is injective) $\Rightarrow f(a) = f(b) \Rightarrow x = y$. Hence g is injective

3.15: $g: B \rightarrow A$ is surjective $\Leftrightarrow f: A \rightarrow B$ is injective: ($\Rightarrow$): g is totally and well defined. Since it is surjective, $\forall a \in A \exists b \in B (g(b) = a) \Rightarrow |g^{-1}(\{a\})| \geq 1$ (Every element a in A has a pre-image of cardinality ≥ 1 , sprich mehrere elemente könnten auf das gleiche Bildelement zeigen) Just taking the inverse would destroy the "well-definedness" (one element might target multiple values), hence, if $|g^{-1}(\{a\})| > 1$ we define $f: A \rightarrow B$ with $a \mapsto b$, b is one arb. element with $b = g^{-1}(a)$ $|g^{-1}(\{a\})| > 1$. The function f is totally (1) and well (2) defined. Since g was well-defined, $a \neq a' \Rightarrow g^{-1}(\{a\}) \cap g^{-1}(\{a'\}) = \emptyset$, hence f is injective. ($\Leftarrow$): f is totally and well defined. We divide B into 2 subgroups: $\text{Im}(f(a))$ and $\text{Im}(f(b))$. As f is injective, it is bijective onto $\text{Im}(f(a))$ and $f^{-1}(\text{Im}(f(a)))$ is also bijective from $\text{Im}(f(a)) \rightarrow A$. We use this implied surject. and define $g: B \rightarrow A: b \mapsto a \begin{cases} a = f^{-1}(b) \text{ if } b \in \text{Im}(f(a)) \\ a = 0 \text{ if } b \notin \text{Im}(f(a)) \end{cases}$. As $\text{Im}(f(A)) \subseteq B$, g is surjective, well and totally defined (Dieser proof nutzt sich, um funkt. zu konstr.)

3.16: (I) If $g \circ f$ or inj, so is $f \circ g$: Let $a, b \in A$. If $(f \circ g)(a) = (f \circ g)(b)$ then $f(g(a)) = f(g(b))$. Since f inj. $\Rightarrow g(a) = g(b)$. Since g inj. $\Rightarrow a = b \Rightarrow f \circ g$ is inj. (II) If $g \circ f$ is inj., then g is inj.? This is false: Let $A = \{1\}, B = \{1, 2\}, C = \{1\}$. Define $f(1) = 1$ and $g(1) = g(2) = 1$. Then $g \circ f$ is inj. but g is not! (III) If $g \circ f$ is inj., then is f ? This is true: Let $a, b \in A$ with $a \neq b$. If $(g \circ f)(a) \neq (g \circ f)(b)$ then $g(f(a)) \neq g(f(b)) \Rightarrow f(a) \neq f(b) \Rightarrow f$ is inj. (IV) If $g \circ f$ is surj., then is f ? Use (II), false (V) If $g \circ f$ is surj., then is g ? Correct: Since $g \circ f$ surj., $\forall c \in C \exists a \in A (g(f(a)) = c)$. Let $b = f(a) \Rightarrow b \in B$ and $g(b) = g(f(a)) = c$. Thus $g(b) = c$. Hence g is surjective

3.17: For each $a, b \in A, [a] = [b]$ or $[a] \cap [b] = \emptyset$: case 1: $[a] \cap [b] = \emptyset$ case 2: $[a] \cap [b] \neq \emptyset$ If $[a] \cap [b] = \emptyset$, the first part of the disjunc. is true. Hence we only need to show $[a] \cap [b] \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow [a] = [b]$. Since $[a] \cap [b] \neq \emptyset$, there is x in A , s.t. $x \in ([a] \cap [b]) \Rightarrow x \in [a] \wedge x \in [b] \Rightarrow [x] = [a] \cap [x] = [b] \Rightarrow [a] = [b]$. Hence $[a] = [b]$ or $[a] \cap [b] = \emptyset$

3.18: Let θ equiv. rel. on A . Prove that collection A/θ of all equiv. classes by θ is partition of A . Since $\forall a \in A (a \in [a]), \bigcup_{a \in A} [a] = A$. It remains to prove that the equiv. classes are disjoint: For any $a, b \in A, a \not\theta b \Rightarrow [a] \cap [b] = \emptyset$ and $a \theta b \Rightarrow [a] \cap [b] \neq \emptyset$. Prove that $a \theta b \Rightarrow [a] = [b]$ Consider arb. $c \in [a] \Leftrightarrow c \theta a$ (def. of $[a]$) $\Rightarrow c \theta b$ (transitivity of θ) $\Leftrightarrow c \in [b] \Rightarrow [a] \subseteq [b]$ Now consider $d \in [b] \Leftrightarrow d \theta b \Rightarrow d \theta a \wedge a \theta b \Leftrightarrow d \theta b \wedge b \theta a$ (symmetry of θ) $\Rightarrow d \theta a \Rightarrow d \in [a] \Rightarrow [b] \subseteq [a] \Rightarrow [a] = [b]$. Now we prove $a \not\theta b \Rightarrow [a] \cap [b] = \emptyset$. (Contrad.): Suppose $a \not\theta b \wedge [a] \cap [b] \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \exists c(c \in [a] \cap [b]) \Rightarrow c \theta a \wedge c \theta b \Rightarrow a \theta c \wedge c \theta b \Rightarrow a \theta b$ Contradiction, hence $a \not\theta b \Rightarrow [a] \cap [b] = \emptyset$ and hence A/θ is partition

3.19: Prove that the lexicographic order $\leq_{\text{lex}}$ is a partial order rel.: (I, refl.): Let arb. $(a_1, b_1) \in A \times B$. Since $\leq$ is reflex, we have $b_1 \leq b_1$. Hence we get $(a_1 = a_1 \wedge b_1 \leq b_1)$ and thus, $(a_1, b_1) \leq_{\text{lex}} (a_1, b_1)$ (II, antisym.): Take any $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2) \in A \times B$, s.t. $(a_1, b_1) \leq_{\text{lex}} (a_2, b_2)$ and $(a_2, b_2) \leq_{\text{lex}} (a_1, b_1)$. This means that $a_1 < a_2 \vee (a_1 = a_2 \wedge b_1 \leq b_2)$ and $a_2 < a_1 \vee (a_2 = a_1 \wedge b_2 \leq b_1)$. By case dist., we show $(a_1, b_1) = (a_2, b_2)$: (1) and (3): $a_1 < a_2 \wedge a_2 < a_1 \Rightarrow$ illegal case (1), (4): $a_1 = a_2 \wedge a_1 \neq a_2$ and $(a_1 = a_2 \wedge b_1 \leq b_2) \Rightarrow$ contradiction, illegal case (2), (3) same as 1.4 (2), (4): $(a_1 = a_2 \wedge b_1 \leq b_2)$ and $(a_2 = a_1 \wedge b_2 \leq b_1) \Rightarrow a_1 = a_2$ and $(b_1 \leq b_2 \wedge b_2 \leq b_1) \Rightarrow (b_1 = b_2)$ (III, trans.): Let arb. $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2), (a_3, b_3) \in A \times B$, s.t. $(a_1, b_1) \leq_{\text{lex}} (a_2, b_2)$ and $(a_2, b_2) \leq_{\text{lex}} (a_3, b_3)$. That is: $a_1 < a_2 \vee (a_1 = a_2 \wedge b_1 \leq b_2)$ and $a_2 < a_3 \vee (a_2 = a_3 \wedge b_2 \leq b_3)$. By case dist., we show $(a_1, b_1) \leq_{\text{lex}} (a_3, b_3)$ (1), (3) $a_1 < a_2$ and $a_2 < a_3 \Rightarrow a_1 < a_3$ ($<$ is trans.) $\Rightarrow (a_1, b_1) \leq_{\text{lex}} (a_3, b_3)$ (1), (4) $a_1 = a_2 \wedge b_1 \leq b_2$ and $a_2 = a_3 \wedge b_2 \leq b_3 \Rightarrow a_1 = a_3 \wedge b_1 \leq b_2 \leq b_3 \Rightarrow (a_1, b_1) \leq_{\text{lex}} (a_3, b_3)$ (trans. of $\leq$) $\Rightarrow (a_1, b_1) \leq_{\text{lex}} (a_3, b_3)$. Hence its partial ord. rel.

4.1: If $(a, b) = (d)$ then $d = \gcd(a, b)$: ($a \in (d) \wedge b \in (d) \Rightarrow (d|a \wedge d|b)$, if $c|a \wedge c|b \Rightarrow c|(a+b)$ (i.e. any common divisor c of a, b divides any int. of form $ua+vb$, especially also $d=ua+vb \Rightarrow c|d$)

4.2: For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $(a, b) = (d)$: Let d be the smallest positive element in (a, b) . (clearly, $(d) \subseteq (a, b)$. We need to show $(a, b) \subseteq (d)$: For any $c \in (a, b), c = q \cdot d + r$ Since both $c, d \in (a, b)$, so is $r = c - q \cdot d \in (a, b)$ Since $0 \leq r < d$ and d (by assumption) is the smallest positive int. in (a, b) , $r = 0$, thus $c = q \cdot d$, hence $(a, b) \subseteq (d)$ (Lem 4.4)

4.3: For all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are u, v , s.t. $ua + vb = \gcd(a, b)$: Its the smallest positive element in $(a, b) = \{ua + vb | u, v \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ (weil $\gcd(a, b) = \min\{a \cdot s + b \cdot t | (s, t) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \wedge (a \cdot s + b \cdot t) > 0\}$ bzw. $(a, b) = \{ua + vb | u, v \in \mathbb{Z}\} = (\gcd(a, b)) = \{u \cdot \gcd(a, b) | u \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, all this useful with $\gcd(a, b) = 1$

4.4: $\gcd(m, n) = \gcd(m - q \cdot n, n)$: Let $d = \gcd(m, n) \Rightarrow d|m \wedge d|n \Rightarrow \exists k(k \cdot d = m) \wedge \exists j(j \cdot d = n) \Rightarrow \exists k, j(m - qn = k \cdot d - q \cdot j \cdot d) \Rightarrow \exists k, j(m - qn = d(k - q \cdot j)) \Rightarrow d|m - qn \wedge d|n$. Let c be a simple common divisor of $(m - qn, n) \Rightarrow \exists k, j(k \cdot c = m - qn) \Rightarrow \exists k, j(kc = m - q \cdot j \cdot c) \Rightarrow \exists k, j(kc + q \cdot j \cdot c = m) \Rightarrow c|m \Rightarrow c|m \wedge c|n \Rightarrow c|d$

4.5: $\gcd(xa, xb) = x \cdot \gcd(a, b)$: Let $d = \gcd(a, b) \Rightarrow (d|a \wedge d|b) \wedge \exists u, v(ua + vb = d)$. Let d' be $\gcd(xa, xb) \Rightarrow d'|xa \wedge d'|xb \Rightarrow \exists u, v(d'|xua \wedge d'|xvb) \Rightarrow \exists u, v(d'|(xua + xvb)) \Leftrightarrow d'|x \cdot d$. z.z: $xd|d'$: $\exists u, v(uxa + vxb = d')$, $d|a \wedge d|b \Rightarrow xd|xa \wedge xd|xb \Rightarrow xd|xua \wedge xd|xvb \Rightarrow xd|(xua + xvb) \Leftrightarrow xd|d'$. ($x \cdot d|d' \wedge d'|x \cdot d \Rightarrow d' = x \cdot d$)

4.6: $\gcd(a, b) = a \Leftrightarrow a|b$: ($\Rightarrow$): $a|a \wedge a|b \Rightarrow a|b$ ($\Leftarrow$): If $\exists c(c|a \wedge c|b)$, then $c|a, \gcd(a, b) = a$

4.7: $\gcd(a, b) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \exists u, v(ua + vb = 1)$: See 4.2

4.8: $ax \equiv_m b$ has 1 solution if $\gcd(a, m) = 1$: Case dist.: (Case I): $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ and $b = 1$ then $ax \equiv_m 1$ has exact. 1 sol mod m , since, if $ax \equiv_m ay$, then $m|(a(x-y))$ and since $\gcd(a, m) = 1, \Rightarrow m|(x-y)$, hence $x \equiv_m y$ and hence x is unique (Case II): $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ and $b = k$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$) then $ax \equiv_m 1$ has clearly sol. for $x = ky$. If $aw \equiv_m k$ is another sol., then $aw \equiv_m ax$ and since $\gcd(a, m) = 1, m|w - x$, hence $w \equiv_m x$

4.9: If $\gcd(n, m) = 1$, prove $a \equiv_{nm} b \Leftrightarrow a \equiv_n b \wedge a \equiv_m b$: ($\Rightarrow$): $a \equiv_{nm} b \Rightarrow a + n \cdot m \cdot k = b \Rightarrow \begin{cases} a + n \cdot (m \cdot k) = b \text{ and } \\ a + m \cdot (n \cdot k) = b \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} a \equiv_n b \\ a \equiv_m b \end{cases}$ ($\Leftarrow$): $a \equiv_n b \wedge a \equiv_m b \Rightarrow n|a - b \wedge m|a - b \Rightarrow \exists k, j(k \cdot n = a - b \wedge j \cdot m = a - b) \Rightarrow \exists k, j(k \cdot n = j \cdot m) \Rightarrow n|j \cdot m \Rightarrow$ (since $\gcd(n, m) = 1$, hence) $n|j \Rightarrow \exists v(v \cdot n = j) \Rightarrow \exists v(v \cdot n \cdot m = (a - b)) \Rightarrow nm|(a - b) \Rightarrow a \equiv_{nm} b$ (Alternativer proof für $\Leftarrow$): Behaupte: $x \equiv_m R_m(b), x \equiv_n R_n(b)$. Acc. to CRT, all sol. of this are congr. mod $m \cdot n$)

4.10: If $\gcd(n, m) = 1$, prove $n|y \cdot m \Rightarrow n|y$: $\gcd(n, m) = 1 \Rightarrow \exists u, v(um + vn = 1) \Leftrightarrow \exists v(v \cdot m \equiv_n 1 \equiv R_n(um) = 1) \Rightarrow n|y \cdot m \Rightarrow \forall v(n|y \cdot v \cdot m) \Rightarrow R_n(y \cdot v \cdot m) = 0 \Leftrightarrow R_n(R_n(y) \cdot R_n(v \cdot m)) = 0 \Rightarrow R_n(R_n(y) \cdot 1) \Rightarrow R_n(R_n(y)) = R_n(y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow n|y$

4.11: $R_m(a+b) = R_m(R_m(a) + R_m(b))$: $a = k \cdot m + r_a, b = k' \cdot m + r_b \Rightarrow R_m(a) = r_a, R_m(b) = r_b \Rightarrow r_a + r_b = k'' \cdot m + r_{a+b} \Rightarrow R_m(R_m(a) + R_m(b)) = R_m(k'' \cdot m + r_{a+b}) = r_{a+b} = R_m(a+b)$

4.12: $R_x(R_x \cdot x(a)) = R_x(a)$: Work as $a = v \cdot x \cdot k + r \Rightarrow R_x(R_x \cdot x(a)) = R_x(R_x \cdot x(v \cdot x \cdot k + r)) = R_x(R_x \cdot x(R_x \cdot x(vxk) + R_x(r))) = R_x(R_x \cdot x(R_x \cdot xk(r))) = R_x(R_x \cdot xk(r)) = R_x(R_x \cdot xk(r)) = R_x(r), R_x(a) = R_x(v \cdot x \cdot k + r) =$ (step needed) $= R_x(r)$

4.13: Prove $913406 \equiv 247 \cdot 3698 \pmod{13}$: $R_3(913406) = R_3(23) = 5, R_3(247 \cdot 3698) = R_3(R_3(247) \cdot R_3(3698)) = R_3(5 \cdot 11) = R_3(55) = R_3(4) = 1$

4.14: Calculate all sol. $0 \leq x < 195$ for $\begin{cases} 2x^2 + 8 \equiv_{13} 6 \\ x \equiv_{15} 2 \end{cases}$: First, we transform I: $2x^2 \equiv_{13} 11$ ($6 \ominus 8 = -11$) then we get rid of coeff. 2 by multiplying both sides with the mult. inv of 2 (inv(2) = 7) $x^2 \equiv_{13} 12$ Then decompose $x^2 \equiv_{13} 12$: Trick: add m (von $\equiv_m$, also 13) to b until its a quadratic numb: $12 + 0 \cdot 13 = 12, 12 + 1 \cdot 13 = 25! 25 = 5^2$ Hence, 5 and -5 ($\equiv_{13} 8$) are two solutions. Hence we split the whole system into $\begin{cases} \text{I } x \equiv_{13} 5 \\ \text{II } x \equiv_{15} 2 \end{cases}$ and $\begin{cases} \text{III } x \equiv_{13} 8 \\ \text{IV } x \equiv_{15} 2 \end{cases}$ Solving I, II: $M = 13 \cdot 15 = 195, N_1: 15 \cdot N_1 \equiv_{13} 1 \Rightarrow N_1 = 7$ (calc. the mult. inv. of 15 mod 13 to get N_1) $N_2: 13 \cdot N_2 \equiv_{15} 1 \Rightarrow N_2 = 7, x = R_{195}(5 \cdot 15 \cdot 7 + 2 \cdot 13 \cdot 7) = 122$, equivalently, for III, IV, we get $x = 47$. There are unique in $0 \leq x < 195$, hence, we have computed all solutions to the system

4.15: Why is n divisible by 3 if its cross sum is? Consider 4 digit number $abcd$. We have: $abcd = 10^3a + 10^2b + 10c + d = (999a + a) + (99b + b) + (9c + c) + d = 3(333a + 33b + 3c) + (a + b + c + d) = x$. $R_3(x) = R_3(a + b + c + d)$

4.16: (Unsatisfiability of equations) Prove $\neg \exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z} (n^5 + 7 = m^2)$: Case dist.: (If m odd, then n even, if m even, then n odd) (Case I, m odd): $(2k)^5 + 7 = (2c)^2 \Leftrightarrow 32k^5 + 7 = 4c^2 \Leftrightarrow 32k^5 + 6 = 4c^2 \Leftrightarrow 32k^5 + b = 4c^2$ $\Rightarrow$ no sol., since $32k^5 + b \equiv_4 2$ and $4c^2 + 4c \equiv_4 0$ and we know that $a \not\equiv_m b \Rightarrow a \neq b$ (Case II, m even): $(2k)^5 + 7 = (2c)^2 \Leftrightarrow 32k^5 + 80k^4 + 80k^3 + 40k^2 + 10k + 8 = 4c^2 \Leftrightarrow 4(8k^5 + 20(k^4 + k^3) + 10k^2 + 2) + 10k = 4c^2 \Rightarrow$ no sol., since $4(8k^5 + 20(k^4 + k^3) + 10k^2 + 2) + 10k \equiv_4 2$ but $4c^2 \equiv_4 0$ since $4(\dots) \equiv_4 0$ but $10k \equiv_4 2$

4.17: Prove CRT uniqueness: (Use 4.8 technique): Assume x_1, x_2 are two sol. Hence for arb a_i , we have $x_1 \equiv_{m_i} a_i, x_2 \equiv_{m_i} a_i \Rightarrow m_i | x_1 - x_2$. Since $[m_1, \dots, m_n]$ are pairwise rel. prime, we have $m_1 \cdot \dots \cdot m_n | x_1 - x_2 \Rightarrow x_1 \equiv_{m_1 \cdot \dots \cdot m_n} x_2$ (In CRT, $m_1, \dots, m_n$ is often denot. as " M ") (This proves, CRT has 1 sol. mod M . One can add further sol. by $x_i = x_1 + M \cdot n, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$)

