

Countability Proofs - reminder: $\mathbb{E}0,13^{\infty}$ is the set of functions $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}0,13$

Uncountable

$$S = \{f \in \mathbb{E}0,13^{\infty} \mid \forall i \in \mathbb{N} f(i) + f(i+1) \leq 1\}$$

We define an injective function $f: \mathbb{E}0,13^{\infty} \rightarrow S$
 a_i is the i^{th} bit of the sequence $a \in \mathbb{E}0,13^{\infty}$
 $f: \mathbb{E}0,13^{\infty} \rightarrow S \quad a \mapsto h$ where $h(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \geq 1 \\ a_{\frac{i}{2}} & \text{if } i \geq 2 \end{cases}$

$\forall h \in h(f) \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ either $f(i) = 0$ or $f(i+1) = 0$ and $\forall h \in h(f) \forall i \in \mathbb{N} f(i) \leq 1$, thus every function $h \in h(f)$ satisfies $\forall i \in \mathbb{N} f(i) + f(i+1) \leq 1$ and thus is an element of S .

Injectivity:
 let h be the first position where $a_{h_1} \neq b_{h_1}$. let $f(a) = h_1$ and $f(b) = h_2$. By construction, $h_1(2 \cdot h) = a_{h_1} \neq b_{h_1} = h_2(2 \cdot h) \Rightarrow h_1 \neq h_2$

Set of equivalence classes \mathbb{R}/\sim

$a \sim b \Leftrightarrow a - b \in \mathbb{Z}$
 $a - b \in \mathbb{Z}$, thus $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a - x = b$. Consider the interval $(0,1)$.
 For any $a, b \in (0,1)$ there exists no $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a - x = b$ except $x=0$.
 Thus for $a, b \in (0,1) [a]_{\sim} \neq [b]_{\sim} \Rightarrow a \not\sim b$. Since $(0,1)$ is uncountable, there are uncountably infinite equivalence classes in $(0,1)$. Thus \mathbb{R}/\sim is also uncountable, since it contains at least all equivalence classes in $(0,1)$.

$$S = \{f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}0,13 \mid \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}: f(n) = 0 \wedge n | m \Rightarrow f(m) = 0\}$$

let $\phi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow P$ be a bijection from the natural numbers to the primes (proof!).
 We define $\psi: \mathbb{E}0,13^{\infty} \rightarrow S$, i.e. $f \rightarrow g$

$$g(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n=1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq 1 \text{ and } n \text{ not prime} \\ \phi(\psi^{-1}(n)) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 Prove that $\psi(f) \in S$:
 let $f \in \mathbb{E}0,13^{\infty}$ and let $g = \psi(f)$. let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, s.t. $g(n) = 0$.
 - if $n=1$, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $0 \wedge n | m$ so that there is nothing to check.
 - if $n \in \mathbb{E}0,13$ and not prime, then if $n | m$ then $m \neq 1$ and n is not prime, so $g(m) = 0$.
 - if n is prime, then if $n | m$, n is not prime, so $g(m) = 0$.

ψ injective:
 Assume $\psi(f) = \psi(f')$ for some $f, f' \in \mathbb{E}0,13^{\infty}$. let $g = \psi(f)$ and $g' = \psi(f')$.
 This means that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $g(n) = g'(n)$. We show that $f(n) = f'(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where ϕ is bijective we have $n = \phi^{-1}(p)$ for some $p \in P$. Therefore:

$$\begin{array}{l} f(n) = f(\phi^{-1}(p)) \\ \quad \downarrow \\ \psi(f) \\ \quad \downarrow \\ g(p) \\ \quad \downarrow \\ \psi^{-1}(g(p)) \\ \quad \downarrow \\ f(\psi^{-1}(g(p))) \\ \quad \downarrow \\ f(n) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} (n = \phi^{-1}(p)) \\ \text{Def. } \psi \\ (g(n) = g'(n) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}) \\ \text{Def. } \psi \\ (n = \phi^{-1}(p)) \end{array}$$

 Thus ψ is injective.

Countable

$$S = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \mid A \text{ or } MA \text{ is finite}\}$$

We define $f: \mathbb{E}0,13^{\infty} \rightarrow S$
 For $b \in \mathbb{E}0,13^{\infty}$, $b_i = i^{\text{th}}$ bit of b , 0-indexed.

$$f(b) = \begin{cases} MA, & \text{if } b_0 = 0 \\ A, & \text{if } b_0 = 1, A := \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid b_x = 1\} \end{cases}$$

$f(b) \in S$: Any bit string is finite and thus has a max x , where $b_x = 1$. Thus A or MA is finite and thus $f(b) \in S$.
 Surjective: For any $X \in S$ we can construct a b , s.t. $f(b) = X$.
 We first do a case distinction if X is finite ($b_0 = 1$) or not ($b_0 = 0$). Then we simply set $b_i = 1$ if $i \in X / i \in MA$. Since X or MA is finite, the bit string is also finite.

$$S = \{f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \mid \forall x \forall y (x \leq y \Rightarrow f(x) \geq f(y))\}$$

f is monoton falling. \rightarrow at some point the functions no longer fall.
 For all $f \in S$, $\exists x^* \in \mathbb{N}$, s.t. $f(y) = f(x^*)$ for all $y \geq x^*$

We define $g: S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$
 $g(f) = (f(0), f(1), \dots, f(x^*))$, where $f(y) = f(x^*)$ for all $y \geq x^*$

Injectivity:
 let $f_1, f_2 \in S$ be arbitrary and $x_1^*, x_2^* \in \mathbb{N}$ the smallest index, where $f_1(x_i) = f_1(x_i^*)$ and $f_2(x_i) = f_2(x_i^*)$ for all $x_i \geq x_i^*$ and $x_2 \geq x_1^*$.

Assume $f_1 \neq f_2 \Rightarrow \exists x$ s.t. $f_1(x) \neq f_2(x)$. let x be the smallest such. It holds that $x \leq x_1^*$ or $x \leq x_2^*$

Assume without loss of generality that $x \leq x_2^*$.

Case 1: $x > x_1^*$: $g(f_1)$ and $g(f_2)$ have different lengths

Case 2: $x \leq x_1^*$: $g(f_1)$ and $g(f_2)$ differ in pos x .

Thus $g(f_1) \neq g(f_2)$

Thus $g(f) \in \mathbb{N}^*$:

Since $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $f(x) \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $g(f) = (f(0), f(1), \dots)$ clearly is a sequence of \mathbb{N} . As stated above there always exists an x^* . Thus the sequence is surely finite and thus $g(f) \in \mathbb{N}^*$

Other

Y non-empty finite set, $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow Y$ arbitrary. Prove: \exists a strictly increasing function $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, s.t. $f \circ g$ is constant.

For each $y \in Y$, consider the set:
 $S_y = \{n \mid f(n) = y\}$

There must at least be one $y \in Y$, s.t. S_y is infinite, since $\bigcup_{y \in Y} S_y = \mathbb{N}$.

Fix this y :
 We define g :
 $g(i) = \min(\mathbb{N} \setminus \{f(n) = y \mid n < i\})$

let A be the set of all infinite subsets of \mathbb{N} . Does every pair of sets $A, B \in A$ have a least upper bound?

Yes: $A \cup B$ is the least upper bound. let $T \in A$ be arbitrary:

$A \subseteq T \wedge B \subseteq T \Leftrightarrow A \cup B \subseteq T$

There exists always an upper bound, since every $A, B \in A$ at least satisfies $A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \wedge B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$

Not that $A \cup B \geq A$, thus $A \cup B \in A$

Logic Semantics proof

$\forall x \neg (F \wedge G) \equiv \neg ((\forall x F) \wedge (\forall x G))$
 Let A be a suitable interpretation for both formulas and also a model for $\forall x \neg (F \wedge G)$:
 $A(\forall x \neg (F \wedge G)) = 1$
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(\neg (F \wedge G)) = 1$ for all $u \in U$ (Sen. V)
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F \wedge G) = 0$ for all $u \in U$ (Sen. 7)
 By sen of 1, $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F \wedge G) = 1$ for all $u \in U$ iff $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 1$ and $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(G) = 1$ for all $u \in U$. Hence we have $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F \wedge G) = 0$ for all $u \in U$ iff for all $u \in U$ either $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 0$ or $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(G) = 0$ (or both).

Case distinction:
 Case 1: $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 1$ for all $u \in U$
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(G) = 0$ for all $u \in U$ (as stated above)
 $\Rightarrow A(\forall x G) = 0$ (Sen. V)
 $\Rightarrow A((\forall x F) \wedge (\forall x G)) = 0$ (Sen. 1)
 $\Rightarrow A(\neg((\forall x F) \wedge (\forall x G))) = 1$ (Sen. 7)
 Case 2: $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 0$ for some $u \in U$
 $\Rightarrow A(\forall x F) = 0$ (Sen. V)
 $\Rightarrow A((\forall x F) \wedge (\forall x G)) = 0$ (Sen. 1)
 $\Rightarrow A(\neg((\forall x F) \wedge (\forall x G))) = 1$ (Sen. 7)

New operator \diamond

Sem: $A((F \diamond G)) = 1 \Leftrightarrow A(G) = 0$ or $A(G) = 1$
 Prove: $\forall x(F \diamond G) \equiv (\forall x F) \diamond (\forall x G)$
 Let A be suitable intp. for both interpretations and a model for $\forall x(F \diamond G)$.
 $A(\forall x(F \diamond G)) = 1$
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F \diamond G) = 1$ for all $u \in U$ (Sen. V) (1)
 Case distinction:
 Case 1: $A(\forall x(G)) = 1$ (Sen. V)
 $\Rightarrow A((\forall x F) \diamond (\forall x G)) = 1$
 Case 2: $A(\forall x(G)) = 0$ (Def. A)
 $\Rightarrow \text{not}(A(\forall x(G)) = 1)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{not}(A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(G) = 1$ for all $u \in U$) (Sen. V)
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(G) = 0$ for some $u \in U$
 with (1):
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(G) = 0$ for some $u \in U$ and $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F \diamond G) = 1$ for all $u \in U$
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(G) = 0$ and $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F \diamond G) = 1$ for some $u \in U$ (Sen. V)
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 0$ for some $u \in U$
 $\Rightarrow \text{not}(A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 1$ for all $u \in U$)
 $\Rightarrow \text{not}(A(\forall x F) = 1)$
 $\Rightarrow A(\forall x F) = 0$
 $\Rightarrow A((\forall x F) \diamond (\forall x G)) = 1$ (Sen. V)

$\neg F \wedge \forall x F \equiv F \equiv G$

Let A be a suitable interpretation for both and a model for LHS
 $A(\neg F \wedge \forall x F) = 1$
 $\Rightarrow A(\neg F) = 1$ and $A(\forall x F) = 1$ (Sen. 1)
 $\Rightarrow A(G) = 1$ and $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 1$ for all $u \in U$ (Sen. V)
 Case 1: x does not occur free in F
 $\Rightarrow A(\neg F) = 1$ and $A(F) = 1 \Rightarrow$ Contradiction
 Case 2: x occurs free in F
 $\Rightarrow A(\neg F) = 1$ and $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 1$ for some $u \in U \Rightarrow$ Contradiction
 This LHS is satisfiable and by def of \vdash the statement is trivially true.

$F \equiv \exists x F$
 Let $A \dots$
 $A(F) = 1$
 Case distinction:
 Case 1: x does not occur free in F
 $A(\exists x F) = 1$
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 1$ for all $u \in U$ (since A indep. of x)
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 1$ for some $u \in U$
 $\Rightarrow A(\exists x F) = 1$ (Sen. 3)

Case 2: x occurs free in F
 $A(F) = 1$
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 1$
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(\exists x F) = 1$ for some $u \in U$ ($x \in U$)
 $\Rightarrow A(\exists x F) = 1$

$\forall x(F \vee G) \equiv F \vee (\exists x G)$

Let $A \dots$
 $A(\forall x(F \vee G)) = 1$
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F \vee G) = 1$ for all $u \in U$ (Sen. V)
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 1$ or $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(G) = 1$ for all $u \in U$ (Sen. V)
 Case 1: $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 0$ for some $u \in U$
 $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 0$ for some $u \in U$
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(G) = 1$ for all $u \in U$
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(G) = 1$ for some $u \in U$ (Sen. 3)
 $\Rightarrow A(\exists x G) = 1$ (Sen. V)
 $\Rightarrow A(F \vee (\exists x G)) = 1$

Case 2: $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 1$ for all $u \in U$
 Case 2.1: x occurs free in F
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 1$ ($x \in U$)
 $\Rightarrow A(F) = 1$ (Sen. V)
 $\Rightarrow A(F \vee (\exists x G)) = 1$
 Case 2.2: x does not occur free in F
 $\Rightarrow A(F) = 1$ (x not free in F)
 $\Rightarrow A(F \vee (\exists x G)) = 1$

Proving system - combine into \mathcal{T}_3

$\mathcal{T}_3(S_1, S_2) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{T}_1(S_1) \neq \mathcal{T}_2(S_2)$
 $\beta_3((s_1, s_2), (p_1, p_2)) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \beta_1(s_1, p_1) \neq \beta_2(s_2, p_2)$
 (Dis) Prove: \mathcal{T}_3 is complete $\Rightarrow \mathcal{T}_1$ or \mathcal{T}_2 complete
 Counterexample: $S_1 = P_1 = \{0\}$, $\mathcal{T}_1(0) = 1$, $\beta_1(0, 0) = 0$
 $\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{T}_2$

$\mathcal{T}_3(S_1, S_2) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{T}_1(S_1) = 1$ or $\mathcal{T}_2(S_2) = 1$
 $\beta_3((s_1, s_2), (p_1, p_2)) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \beta_1(s_1, p_1) = 1$ or $\beta_2(s_2, p_2) = 1$
 (Dis) Prove: If \mathcal{T}_3 complete $\Rightarrow \mathcal{T}_1$ or \mathcal{T}_2 complete

Included proof:
 Assume both $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2$ incomplete:
 $\exists s_1 \in S_1, \mathcal{T}_1(s_1) = 1$ but $\beta_1(s_1, p_1) = 0$ for all $p_1 \in P_1$
 $\exists s_2 \in S_2, \mathcal{T}_2(s_2) = 1$ but $\beta_2(s_2, p_2) = 0$ for all $p_2 \in P_2$
 Consider $(s_1, s_2) \in S_1 \times S_2$
 $\mathcal{T}_1(s_1) = 1$ and $\mathcal{T}_2(s_2) = 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{T}_3(s_1, s_2) = 1$
 However:
 $\beta_1(s_1, p_1) = 0$ and $\beta_2(s_2, p_2) = 0$ for all $p_1 \in P_1, p_2 \in P_2$
 $\Rightarrow \beta_3((s_1, s_2), (p_1, p_2)) = 0$ for all $(p_1, p_2) \in P_1 \times P_2$
 Thus \mathcal{T}_3 is incomplete and the desired holds.

(Dis) Prove: \mathcal{T}_3 sound $\Rightarrow \mathcal{T}_1$ or \mathcal{T}_2 sound
 Included proof:
 Assume both $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2$ not sound
 $\exists s_1 \in S_1, \exists p_1 \in P_1, \mathcal{T}_1(s_1) = 0$ but $\beta_1(s_1, p_1) = 1$
 $\exists s_2 \in S_2, \exists p_2 \in P_2, \mathcal{T}_2(s_2) = 0$ but $\beta_2(s_2, p_2) = 1$
 Then $\mathcal{T}_3(s_1, s_2) = 0$, but $\beta_3((s_1, s_2), (p_1, p_2)) = 1$
 \mathcal{T}_3 is not sound.

(Dis) Prove: \mathcal{T}_1 or \mathcal{T}_2 complete $\Rightarrow \mathcal{T}_3$ complete
 Counterexample:
 $S_1 = S_2 = \{0\}$ and $P_1 = P_2 = \{0, 1\}$
 $\mathcal{T}_1(0) = 0, \beta_1(0, 0) = 0, \mathcal{T}_2(0) = 1, \beta_2(0, 0) = 0$
 \mathcal{T}_3 complete.
 $\mathcal{T}_3(0, 0) = 1$ since $\mathcal{T}_1(0) = 1$, but
 $\beta_3((0, 0), (0, 0)) = 0$ for all $(p_1, p_2) \in P_1 \times P_2 = \{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1\}$
 \mathcal{T}_3 is not complete.

$\overline{\mathcal{T}} = (S, P, \overline{\mathcal{T}}, \overline{\beta})$

$\overline{\mathcal{T}}(\omega) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{T}(\omega) = 0$
 $\overline{\beta}(s, p) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \beta(s, p) = 0$
 (Dis) Prove: \mathcal{T} sound $\Rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}$ complete
 Counterexample:
 $S = \{0, 1\}, P = \emptyset \Rightarrow$ only if $P = \emptyset$
 $\mathcal{T}(0) = 0$
 $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ sound.
 $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(\omega) = 1$
 $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is not complete.
 (Dis) Prove: \mathcal{T} complete $\Rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}$ sound
 Counterexample:
 $S = \{0, 1\}, P = \{0, 1\}$
 $\mathcal{T}(0) = 1, \beta(0, 0) = 1, \beta(0, 1) = 0$
 \mathcal{T} complete.
 $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(\omega) = 0, \overline{\beta}(0, 0) = 0$
 $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is not sound.

Applications

Diffie-Hellman

- Diffie-Hellman protocol with $\langle \mathbb{Z}_n, + \rangle$ with generator $g \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Show that this protocol is insecure.
 - We have $\text{gcd}(g, n) = 1$. Thus Eve can compute the multiplicative inverse with the extended GCD algorithm to find a, b s.t. $a \cdot g + b \cdot n = 1$. Then she can compute $h_{AB} = \mathcal{R}_n(a \cdot y_A \cdot y_B)$.
 - $h_{AB} = g^{a \cdot (g^x \cdot x_A) \cdot b \cdot (g^y \cdot x_B)} = g^{(a \cdot b \cdot (g^x \cdot x_A) \cdot (g^y \cdot x_B))} = g^{a \cdot b \cdot (g^x \cdot x_A \cdot g^y \cdot x_B)}$
- Thus the protocol is insecure for every cyclic group since all cyclic groups are isomorphic to $\langle \mathbb{Z}_n, + \rangle$ to this trace.
 - No. With an isomorphism we could compute x with $g^x = y$ easily with $y(g^y)^{-1} = g(y)$, since then we would be in the natural numbers \mathbb{Z}_n . However, this is false, since finding an isomorphism also isn't always easy.

Exercise:

$$\langle \mathbb{Z}_{42}, \oplus \rangle, g = 17, y_A = 28, y_B = 10, h = ?$$

$$y_A = \mathcal{R}_{42}(17^{x_A}) \equiv_{42} 17^{x_A} \equiv_{42} 28$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 17 \cdot x_A \equiv_{42} 28$$

$$17 \cdot x \equiv_{42} 1 \Leftrightarrow x \equiv 5 \Rightarrow x_A =_{42} 5 \cdot 28 = 140 \equiv_{42} 14$$

$$\Rightarrow h_{AB} \equiv_{42} 10^{14} \equiv_{42} 10 \cdot 14 = 140 \equiv_{42} 14$$

$$\langle \mathbb{Z}_{25}^*, \odot \rangle, g = 2, y_A = 8, h_{AB} = 2, y_B = ?$$

$$y_A = \mathcal{R}_{25}(2^{x_A}) \Leftrightarrow 2^{x_A} \equiv_{25} 8 \Rightarrow x_A = 3$$

$$h_{AB} = \mathcal{R}_{25}(y_B^{x_A}) \Leftrightarrow y_B^3 \equiv_{25} 2 \Rightarrow y_B = 8$$

RSA

RSA with three keys $(n_1, d), (n_2, d), (n_3, d)$. Message m is encrypted for each of them resulting in C_1, C_2, C_3 . How can an attacker use this to compute m ?

- If n_1, n_2, n_3 are not relatively prime:
 - E.g. $\text{gcd}(n_1, n_2) > 1$. Then we can compute $g = \text{gcd}(n_1, n_2)$ and the factorize n_1 . Then we can decrypt C_1 .
 - If n_1, n_2, n_3 are relatively prime:
 - We can compute x with CRT:

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv_{n_1} C_1 \\ x &\equiv_{n_2} C_2 \\ x &\equiv_{n_3} C_3 \end{aligned}$$
 $n_1^3 \equiv_{n_1} C_1$ is also a solution. Since $0 \leq m < n_1$, we have $0 \leq m^3 < n_1, n_2, n_3 = N$. Since x is unique in $0 \leq x < N, x = m^3$. Thus we can compute $m = \sqrt[3]{x}$ efficiently.

Error-Correcting

Polynomial Interpolation

- We use a key $s \in \mathcal{L}(F_q)$ with a polynomial $a(x) = a_{t-1}x^{t-1} + \dots + a_1x + s$.
- Each general C_{m_1}, C_{m_2} receives a share $s_i = a(x_i)$:
- Show that the key can be determined uniquely by t shares.
 - Polynomial interpolation. The polynomial is uniquely determined by t shares, since it has degree $t-1$.
 - How many values $s \in \mathcal{L}(F_q)$ are possible for s if one collected $t-1$ shares.
 - q possibilities for every set of $t-1$ shares and that all shares are fulfilled.

Prove that for any two rel. prime moduli $n_1, n_2 > 0, \langle \mathbb{Z}_{n_1 n_2}^*, \odot \rangle$ is isomorphic to $\langle \mathbb{Z}_{n_1}^*, \odot \rangle \times \langle \mathbb{Z}_{n_2}^*, \odot \rangle$

$$f: \mathbb{Z}_{n_1 n_2}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n_1}^* \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2}^* \text{ with } f(x) = (\mathcal{R}_{n_1}(x), \mathcal{R}_{n_2}(x))$$

f function: $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_1}^* \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2}^*$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_1 n_2}^*$.
 Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_1 n_2}^*$, then $\text{gcd}(x, n_1 n_2) = 1$. Let $d = \text{gcd}(x, n_1)$. Then $d | x$ and $d | n_1$, which implies that $d | x$ and $d | n_2$, so by the definition of gcd , $d | \text{gcd}(x, n_2) = 1$. Hence $d = 1$, so $\text{gcd}(x, n_2) = 1$. Thus $\text{gcd}(\mathcal{R}_{n_1}(x), n_2) = \text{gcd}(x, n_2) = 1$, so $\mathcal{R}_{n_1}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_2}^*$.

Analogous for $\mathcal{R}_{n_2}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_1}^*$.

f surjective:
 Take any $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_1}^* \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2}^*$. Since $\text{gcd}(n_1, n_2) = 1$ by CRT, there exists an $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_1 n_2}$ such that $(\mathcal{R}_{n_1}(x), \mathcal{R}_{n_2}(x)) = (a, b)$. To show that $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_1 n_2}^*$, assume by contradiction that $d = \text{gcd}(x, n_1 n_2) > 1$. Let p be an arbitrary prime in the decomposition of d . Since $p | n_1$ or $p | n_2$, by lemma 4.7, $p | n_1$ or $p | n_2$. In the first case, since also $p | x$, we get $p | \text{gcd}(x, n_1)$. But $\text{gcd}(x, n_1) = \text{gcd}(\mathcal{R}_{n_1}(x), n_1) = \text{gcd}(a, n_1) = 1$ (since $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_1}^*$), so this is a contradiction. Analogously, in the second case we get $p | \text{gcd}(x, n_2)$.

f injective:
 By CRT, the x defined above is unique in $\mathbb{Z}_{n_1 n_2}$, hence it is also unique in $\mathbb{Z}_{n_1 n_2}^*$.

f homomorphism:

$$f(a \odot_{n_1 n_2} b) = (\mathcal{R}_{n_1}(a \odot_{n_1 n_2} b), \mathcal{R}_{n_2}(a \odot_{n_1 n_2} b)) = (\mathcal{R}_{n_1}(\mathcal{R}_{n_1}(a) \cdot \mathcal{R}_{n_1}(b)), \mathcal{R}_{n_2}(\mathcal{R}_{n_1}(a) \cdot \mathcal{R}_{n_1}(b))) = (\mathcal{R}_{n_1}(a), \mathcal{R}_{n_1}(b)) \cdot (\mathcal{R}_{n_1}(\mathcal{R}_{n_2}(a) \cdot \mathcal{R}_{n_2}(b)), \mathcal{R}_{n_2}(\mathcal{R}_{n_1}(a) \cdot \mathcal{R}_{n_1}(b))) = (\mathcal{R}_{n_1}(a) \odot_{n_1} \mathcal{R}_{n_1}(b), \mathcal{R}_{n_2}(a) \odot_{n_2} \mathcal{R}_{n_2}(b)) = (\mathcal{R}_{n_1}(a), \mathcal{R}_{n_1}(b)) * (\mathcal{R}_{n_2}(a), \mathcal{R}_{n_2}(b)) = f(a) * f(b)$$