







# Algebra/NT proofs

## Fields/Algebras/Rings

### How many solutions?

Let  $p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$  be an irreducible polynomial of degree 5. Consider the field  $F = \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(p(x))$ . How many solutions  $(a, b) \in F \times F$  does the equation  $a^2 + b^2 + a + b = 0$  have?

Hint:  $(a-b)(a^2 + b^2 + a + b) = a^2 - b^2$

Case 1:  $a=b$   
 $a^2 + b^2 + a + b = 2a^2 + 2a = 2a(a+1) = 0$   
 $a=0$  or  $a=-1$ .  
 Since  $F$  is a field, the only solution to  $a^2=0$  is  $a=0$ , since the field has no zero divisors.

Case 2:  $a \neq b$   
 $a^2 + b^2 + a + b = 0 \Leftrightarrow (a-b)(a^2 + b^2 + a + b) = a^2 - b^2$   
 $(a-b)(a^2 + b^2 + a + b) = (a-b)(a+b)(a^2 + b^2) = (a-b)(a+b)(a^2 + b^2) = a^2 - b^2$   
 $(a-b)(a+b)(a^2 + b^2) = (a-b)(a+b)(a^2 + b^2) = a^2 - b^2$   
 $(a-b)(a+b)(a^2 + b^2) = (a-b)(a+b)(a^2 + b^2) = a^2 - b^2$

### Prove that $\langle \mathbb{Z}_n^*, 0 \rangle \cong \langle \mathbb{Z}_n^*, 0 \rangle$

Let  $\langle G, \cdot, 1 \rangle$  be an abelian group. Let  $a, b \in G$  and define  $n = \text{ord}(a)$  and  $m = \text{ord}(b)$ . We show that if  $\gcd(n, m) = 1$  and  $\langle a \rangle, \langle b \rangle \leq G$  then  $\langle a \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ . Consider the subset  $S \subseteq G$  defined as  $S = \{a^i b^j \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_n, j \in \mathbb{Z}_m\}$ . Clearly,  $S$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $\langle a \rangle, \langle b \rangle \leq S$ , we have  $|S| \geq nm = |G|$  so that  $S = G$ . Consider  $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ ,  $\varphi(a^i b^j) = (i, j)$ .  $\varphi$  is a group homomorphism:  $\varphi(g \cdot h) = \varphi(a^i b^j \cdot a^k b^l) = \varphi(a^{i+k} b^{j+l}) = (i+k, j+l) = (i, j) + (k, l) = \varphi(a^i b^j) + \varphi(a^k b^l)$ .  $\varphi$  is surjective, and since  $|\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m| = nm = |G|$ , we conclude  $\varphi$  is bijective and thus a group isomorphism. Now, consider  $\langle \mathbb{Z}_n^*, 0 \rangle$ : Direct comp. shows that  $\text{ord}(2) = 4$ ,  $\text{ord}(3) = 2$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}_8^* \cong \langle 2 \rangle \times \langle 3 \rangle$ , we have  $\langle \mathbb{Z}_8^*, 0 \rangle \cong \langle 2 \rangle \times \langle 3 \rangle$ . This shows  $\mathbb{Z}_8^* \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_8^*$ .

### Prove ring $R$ is comm. with $\forall c \in R \setminus \{0\}$

and all  $b, c \in R$  we have  $ab = ca \Rightarrow b = c$

For  $c \neq 0$ :  
 $a(bc) = a(b)c$   
 $\Rightarrow a(bc) = (ca)b$  (associativity)  
 $\Rightarrow ba = ca$  (cancellation)

For  $c = 0$ :  
 $cb = 0 = b \cdot 0 = b \cdot ca$

### Disprove: For any two groups $G, H$ , all subgroups of the direct product group are of the form $G' \times H'$ , where $G' \leq G$ and $H' \leq H$

Counterexample:  $G = H = \mathbb{Z}_3$ . The subgroups of  $G$  and  $H$  are  $\{0\}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ . A subgroup of  $G \times H$  is  $S = \{(0,0), (1,1), (2,2)\}$ .  $\hookrightarrow$  prove that it is a subgroup.

### Prove $|H| = \text{ord}(x) \cdot \text{ord}(y)$

Let  $G$  be a group and  $x, y \in G$ , s.t.:

- $xy = yx$
- $\gcd(\text{ord}(x), \text{ord}(y)) = 1$

Let  $H$  be the smallest subgroup containing  $x$  and  $y$ .  
 Prove  $|H| = \text{ord}(x) \cdot \text{ord}(y)$ .

First show that  $H = \{x^i y^j \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_n, j \in \mathbb{Z}_m, 0 \leq i < n, 0 \leq j < m\}$  is a subgroup:

- Let  $x^i y^j, x^k y^l \in H$ :  
 $(x^i y^j)(x^k y^l) = x^i x^k y^j y^l = x^{i+k} y^{j+l} = x^{i+k} y^{j+l}$
- $x^i y^0 = x^i \in H$
- $x^0 y^j = y^j \in H$

Show that  $H$  is the smallest subgroup. Consider any  $S \subseteq G$  containing  $x$  and  $y$ .  $\{x^i, x^{-i}, \dots, x^{\text{ord}(x)-1}\}$  and  $\{y^j, y^{-j}, \dots, y^{\text{ord}(y)-1}\}$  are subgroups of  $S$ . Since their orders need to divide  $|S|$ , we have  $\text{ord}(x) \mid |S|$  and  $\text{ord}(y) \mid |S| \Rightarrow \text{lcm}(\text{ord}(x), \text{ord}(y)) \mid \text{ord}(x) \cdot \text{ord}(y) \mid |S| \Rightarrow |S| \geq \text{ord}(x) \cdot \text{ord}(y)$ .

# Number Theory

### Prove $\gcd(a, b) \mid \gcd(a, c) \cdot \gcd(a, c)$

$\gcd(a, b) = ua + vb$ ,  $\gcd(a, c) = xc + yc$

Thus:  
 $\gcd(a, b) \cdot \gcd(a, c) = (ua + vb)(xc + yc)$   
 $= uacx + ucy + vbx + vbyc$   
 $= (ua + vb)(xc + yc)$

Since  $\gcd(a, b) \mid \gcd(a, c)$  divides both  $a$  and  $b$ , it divides everything.

### Find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , $5^n \equiv 251$ and $3^n \equiv 319$

$23$  is prime,  $5^{22} \equiv 1$   
 $\Rightarrow (5^{11})^2 \equiv 251$   
 $\Rightarrow 5^{11} \equiv 251$

$31$  is prime,  $3^{30} \equiv 1$   
 $\Rightarrow (3^{15})^2 \equiv 319$   
 $\Rightarrow 3^{15} \equiv 319$

Every  $n$  with  $n \equiv 22h, m \equiv 30k + 2$  is a solution.  
 $22h + 30k + 2 \equiv 11h + 15k + 1$   
 $\Rightarrow 11h \equiv 1$   
 $\Rightarrow h \equiv 11$  (multpl. inverse)  
 $\Rightarrow 22 \cdot 11 + 2k \equiv 1$

Thus  $n = 242$

### Prove that $2^{(2^n)+1}$ and $2^{(2^{n+1})+1}$ rel. pr.

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  with  $n > 0$ .  
 Without loss of gen, assume  $n > 0$ .  
 $2^{(2^n)+1} \equiv 2^{2^n+1} - 1$   
 $\Rightarrow (2^{2^n})^{2^n+1} \equiv 2^{2^n+1} - 1$   
 $\Rightarrow (2^{2^{2^n}})^{2^n+1} \equiv 2^{2^n+1} - 1$   
 $\Rightarrow (2^{2^{2^{2^n}}})^{2^n+1} \equiv 2^{2^n+1} - 1$

$\gcd(2^{(2^n)+1}, 2^{(2^{n+1})+1})$   
 $= \gcd(2^{(2^n)+1}, 2^{(2^{2^n}+1)+1})$   
 $= \gcd(2^{(2^n)+1}, 2) = 2$

### Prove $ab = cd \Rightarrow a+b+c+d$ is not prime

$a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ .  
 Assume by contradiction that  $a+b+c+d$  is prime.  
 Thus  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a field (theorem).  
 In this field  $ab + cd = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = -b - c - d$ .  
 Putting that into  $ab = cd$ :  
 $-b^2 - bc - bd = cd$   
 $\Leftrightarrow cd + b^2 + bc + bd = 0$   
 $\Leftrightarrow (b+c)(b+d) = 0$   
 Since  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $b+c, b+d$  are zero divisors, which contradicts our assumption that  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a field.

### $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ is infinite set, s.t.

i)  $a-b \in S$  for any  $a \in S, b \in S$   
 ii)  $c \in S$  for any  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$

Prove that there exists  $u \in S$  s.t.  $(u) = S$ .

Let  $u > 0$  be the smallest positive integer in  $S$ . (it exists since  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ )  
 By (ii),  $(u) \in S$ .  
 $S \subseteq (u)$ .  
 Euclid: For any  $x \in S$ ,  $\exists h, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $0 \leq r < u$ , s.t.  $x = hu + r$ .  
 By (i),  $hu \in S$ .  
 $x - hu \in S \Rightarrow r \in S$ .  
 However since  $0 \leq r < u$  and our assumption that  $u > 0$  is the smallest positive integer in  $S$ , we have  $r = 0$ . Thus  $x = hu \Rightarrow x \in (u)$ .  
 $\hookrightarrow$  Let  $u \in S \Rightarrow (u) = S$ .

### Prove for $a^2 + b^2 + 2, \exists q, c^2 = 4q + 1$

$a^2 = 4q + 1 \Rightarrow c^2 = 4q + 1$

For any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have:  
 . if  $x \equiv 0$ , then  $x^2 \equiv 0$ ,  $x^2 \equiv 0$   
 . if  $x \equiv 1$ , then  $x^2 \equiv 1$ ,  $x^2 \equiv 1$   
 . if  $x \equiv 2$ , then  $x^2 \equiv 0$ ,  $x^2 \equiv 0$   
 . if  $x \equiv 3$ , then  $x^2 \equiv 1$ ,  $x^2 \equiv 1$

Thus, we have:  
 $\mathbb{Z}_4 \setminus \{0\} \in \{1, 3\}$   
 $\mathbb{Z}_4 \setminus \{0\} \in \{1, 3\} \Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_4 \setminus \{0\} \in \{1, 3\}$   
 $a^2 + b^2 \equiv 2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_4 \setminus \{0\} = \mathbb{Z}_4 \setminus \{0\}$ . No.  
 we must have  $\mathbb{Z}_4 \setminus \{0\} = \mathbb{Z}_4 \setminus \{0\} = 1$   
 Thus  $\mathbb{Z}_4 \setminus \{0\} = 1 \Rightarrow c^2 \equiv 1$

### Find all sol. $2x^2 + 8 = y^2$ for

$2x^2 + 8 = y^2$   
 $x = 0, y = 2$   
 $2x^2 + 8 = y^2$   
 $2x^2 = y^2 - 8$   
 $2x^2 = (y-2)(y+2)$   
 $\rightarrow$  Find multpl. inverse of 2  $\Rightarrow 7$   
 $7 \cdot 2x^2 = 7(y-2)(y+2)$   
 $x^2 = 7(y-2)(y+2)$   
 $x = 7(y-2)(y+2)$   
 $\rightarrow$  generate equation like the above:  $2x^2 = y^2 - 8$   
 This is the same as  $x = 7(y-2)(y+2)$   
 $x = 7(y-2)(y+2)$   
 $x = 7(y-2)(y+2)$   
 to solve with equation with CRT

### Prove $m \equiv 4 \pmod{11} \Leftrightarrow 2003^m \equiv_{10} 2003^m$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$2003^m \equiv_{10} 2003^m$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{10}(2003^m) = \mathbb{Z}_{10}(2003^m)$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \text{ord}(5) = \text{ord}(5)$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{10}(3^{4 \cdot \text{ord}(n)}) = \mathbb{Z}_{10}(3^{4 \cdot \text{ord}(n)})$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{10}(3^{4 \cdot \text{ord}(n)}) = \mathbb{Z}_{10}(3^{4 \cdot \text{ord}(n)})$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{10}(3^{4 \cdot \text{ord}(n)}) = \mathbb{Z}_{10}(3^{4 \cdot \text{ord}(n)})$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{10}(3) = \mathbb{Z}_{10}(3)$  (1)  
 $\Leftrightarrow \dots \equiv 1$

(1) can be verified by computing all remainders of  $3^k, k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Since they are all distinct, it implies  $\mathbb{Z}_{10}(3) = \mathbb{Z}_{10}(3)$ .

# Logic Semantics proof

$\forall x \neg (F \wedge G) \equiv \neg ((\forall x F) \wedge (\forall x G))$   
 Let  $A$  be a suitable interpretation for both formulas and also a model for  $\forall x \neg (F \wedge G)$ :  
 $A(\forall x \neg (F \wedge G)) = 1$   
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(\neg (F \wedge G)) = 1$  for all  $u \in U$  (Sen. V)  
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F \wedge G) = 0$  for all  $u \in U$  (Sen. 7)  
 By sen of 1,  $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F \wedge G) = 1$  for all  $u \in U$  iff  $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 1$  and  $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(G) = 1$  for all  $u \in U$ . Hence we have  $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F \wedge G) = 0$  for all  $u \in U$  iff for all  $u \in U$  either  $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 0$  or  $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(G) = 0$  (or both).

Case distinction:  
 Case 1:  $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 1$  for all  $u \in U$   
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(G) = 0$  for all  $u \in U$  (as stated above)  
 $\Rightarrow A(\forall x G) = 0$  (Sen. V)  
 $\Rightarrow A((\forall x F) \wedge (\forall x G)) = 0$  (Sen. 1)  
 $\Rightarrow A(\neg((\forall x F) \wedge (\forall x G))) = 1$  (Sen. 7)  
 Case 2:  $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 0$  for some  $u \in U$   
 $\Rightarrow A(\forall x F) = 0$  (Sen. V)  
 $\Rightarrow A((\forall x F) \wedge (\forall x G)) = 0$  (Sen. 1)  
 $\Rightarrow A(\neg((\forall x F) \wedge (\forall x G))) = 1$  (Sen. 7)

## New operator $\diamond$

Sem:  $A((F \diamond G)) = 1 \Leftrightarrow A(G) = 0$  or  $A(G) = 1$   
 Prove:  $\forall x(F \diamond G) \equiv (\forall x F) \diamond (\forall x G)$   
 Let  $A$  be suitable intp. for both interpretations and a model for  $\forall x(F \diamond G)$ .  
 $A(\forall x(F \diamond G)) = 1$   
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F \diamond G) = 1$  for all  $u \in U$  (Sen. V) (1)  
 Case distinction:  
 Case 1:  $A(\forall x(G)) = 1$  (Sen. V)  
 $\Rightarrow A((\forall x F) \diamond (\forall x G)) = 1$   
 Case 2:  $A(\forall x(G)) = 0$  (Def.  $\diamond$ )  
 $\Rightarrow \text{not}(A(\forall x(G)) = 1)$  (Def.  $\neg$ )  
 $\Rightarrow \text{not}(A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(G) = 1$  for all  $u \in U$ ) (Sen. V)  
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(G) = 0$  for some  $u \in U$   
 with (1):  
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(G) = 0$  for some  $u \in U$  and  $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F \diamond G) = 1$  for all  $u \in U$   
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(G) = 0$  and  $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F \diamond G) = 1$  for some  $u \in U$  (Sen. V)  
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 0$  for some  $u \in U$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{not}(A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 1$  for all  $u \in U$ )  
 $\Rightarrow \text{not}(A(\forall x F) = 1)$   
 $\Rightarrow A(\forall x F) = 0$   
 $\Rightarrow A((\forall x F) \diamond (\forall x G)) = 1$  (Sen. V)

## $\neg F \wedge \forall x F \equiv F \equiv G$

Let  $A$  be a suitable interpretation for both and a model for LHS  
 $A(\neg F \wedge \forall x F) = 1$   
 $\Rightarrow A(\neg F) = 1$  and  $A(\forall x F) = 1$  (Sen. 1)  
 $\Rightarrow A(G) = 1$  and  $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 1$  for all  $u \in U$  (Sen. V)  
 Case 1:  $x$  does not occur free in  $F$   
 $\Rightarrow A(\neg F) = 1$  and  $A(F) = 1 \Rightarrow$  Contradiction  
 Case 2:  $x$  occurs free in  $F$   
 $\Rightarrow A(\neg F) = 1$  and  $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 1$  for some  $u \in U \Rightarrow$  Contradiction  
 This LHS is satisfiable and by def of  $\vdash$  the statement is trivially true.

$F \equiv \exists x F$   
 Let  $A \dots$   
 $A(F) = 1$   
 Case distinction:  
 Case 1:  $x$  does not occur free in  $F$   
 $A(\exists x F) = 1$   
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 1$  for all  $u \in U$  (since  $A$  indep. of  $x$ )  
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 1$  for some  $u \in U$   
 $\Rightarrow A(\exists x F) = 1$  (Sen. 3)

Case 2:  $x$  occurs free in  $F$   
 $A(F) = 1$   
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 1$   
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(\exists x F) = 1$  for some  $u \in U$  ( $x \in U$ )  
 $\Rightarrow A(\exists x F) = 1$

## $\forall x(F \vee G) \equiv F \vee (\exists x G)$

Let  $A \dots$   
 $A(\forall x(F \vee G)) = 1$   
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F \vee G) = 1$  for all  $u \in U$  (Sen. V)  
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 1$  or  $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(G) = 1$  for all  $u \in U$  (Sen. V)  
 Case 1:  $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 0$  for some  $u \in U$   
 $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 0$  for some  $u \in U$   
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(G) = 1$  for all  $u \in U$   
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(G) = 1$  for some  $u \in U$  (Sen. 3)  
 $\Rightarrow A(\exists x G) = 1$  (Sen. V)  
 $\Rightarrow A(F \vee (\exists x G)) = 1$

Case 2:  $A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 1$  for all  $u \in U$   
 Case 2.1:  $x$  occurs free in  $F$   
 $\Rightarrow A_{\{x \mapsto u\}}(F) = 1$  ( $x \in U$ )  
 $\Rightarrow A(F) = 1$  (Sen. V)  
 $\Rightarrow A(F \vee (\exists x G)) = 1$   
 Case 2.2:  $x$  does not occur free in  $F$   
 $\Rightarrow A(F) = 1$  ( $x$  not free in  $F$ )  
 $\Rightarrow A(F \vee (\exists x G)) = 1$

## Proving system - combine into $\mathcal{T}_3$

$\mathcal{T}_3(S_1, S_2) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{T}_1(S_1) \neq \mathcal{T}_2(S_2)$   
 $\beta_3((s_1, s_2), (p_1, p_2)) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \beta_1(s_1, p_1) \neq \beta_2(s_2, p_2)$   
 (Dis) Prove:  $\mathcal{T}_3$  is complete  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{T}_1$  or  $\mathcal{T}_2$  complete  
 Counterexample:  $S_1 = P_1 = \{0\}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_1(0) = 1$ ,  $\beta_1(0, 0) = 0$   
 $\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{T}_2$

$\mathcal{T}_3(S_1, S_2) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{T}_1(S_1) = 1$  or  $\mathcal{T}_2(S_2) = 1$   
 $\beta_3((s_1, s_2), (p_1, p_2)) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \beta_1(s_1, p_1) = 1$  or  $\beta_2(s_2, p_2) = 1$   
 (Dis) Prove: If  $\mathcal{T}_3$  complete  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{T}_1$  or  $\mathcal{T}_2$  complete

Included proof:  
 Assume both  $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2$  incomplete:  
 $\exists s_1 \in S_1, \mathcal{T}_1(s_1) = 1$  but  $\beta_1(s_1, p_1) = 0$  for all  $p_1 \in P_1$   
 $\exists s_2 \in S_2, \mathcal{T}_2(s_2) = 1$  but  $\beta_2(s_2, p_2) = 0$  for all  $p_2 \in P_2$   
 Consider  $(s_1, s_2) \in S_1 \times S_2$   
 $\mathcal{T}_1(s_1) = 1$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2(s_2) = 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{T}_3(s_1, s_2) = 1$   
 However:  
 $\beta_1(s_1, p_1) = 0$  and  $\beta_2(s_2, p_2) = 0$  for all  $p_1 \in P_1, p_2 \in P_2$   
 $\Rightarrow \beta_3((s_1, s_2), (p_1, p_2)) = 0$  for all  $(p_1, p_2) \in P_1 \times P_2$   
 Thus  $\mathcal{T}_3$  is incomplete and the desired holds.

(Dis) Prove:  $\mathcal{T}_3$  sound  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{T}_1$  or  $\mathcal{T}_2$  sound  
 Included proof:  
 Assume both  $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2$  not sound:  
 $\exists s_1 \in S_1, \exists p_1 \in P_1, \mathcal{T}_1(s_1) = 0$  but  $\beta_1(s_1, p_1) = 1$   
 $\exists s_2 \in S_2, \exists p_2 \in P_2, \mathcal{T}_2(s_2) = 0$  but  $\beta_2(s_2, p_2) = 1$   
 Then  $\mathcal{T}_3(s_1, s_2) = 0$ , but  $\beta_3((s_1, s_2), (p_1, p_2)) = 1$   
 $\mathcal{T}_3$  is not sound.

(Dis) Prove:  $\mathcal{T}_1$  or  $\mathcal{T}_2$  complete  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{T}_3$  complete  
 Counterexample:  
 $S_1 = S_2 = \{0\}$  and  $P_1 = P_2 = \{0, 1\}$   
 $\mathcal{T}_1(0) = 0, \beta_1(0, 0) = 0, \mathcal{T}_2(0) = 1, \beta_2(0, 0) = 0$   
 $\mathcal{T}_3$  complete.  
 $\mathcal{T}_3(0, 0) = 1$  since  $\mathcal{T}_1(0) = 1$ , but  
 $\beta_3((0, 0), (0, 0)) = 0$  for all  $(p_1, p_2) \in P_1 \times P_2 = \{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1\}$   
 $\mathcal{T}_3$  is not complete.

## $\overline{\mathcal{T}} = (S, P, \overline{\mathcal{T}}, \overline{\beta})$

$\overline{\mathcal{T}}(\omega) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{T}(\omega) = 0$   
 $\overline{\beta}(s, p) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \beta(s, p) = 0$   
 (Dis) Prove:  $\mathcal{T}$  sound  $\Rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}$  complete  
 Counterexample:  
 $S = \{0, 1\}, P = \emptyset \Rightarrow$  only if  $P = \emptyset$   
 $\mathcal{T}(0) = 0$   
 $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$  sound.  
 $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(\omega) = 1$   
 $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$  is not complete.  
 (Dis) Prove:  $\mathcal{T}$  complete  $\Rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}$  sound  
 Counterexample:  
 $S = \{0, 1\}, P = \{0, 1\}$   
 $\mathcal{T}(0) = 1, \beta(0, 0) = 1, \beta(0, 1) = 0$   
 $\mathcal{T}$  complete.  
 $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(\omega) = 0, \overline{\beta}(0, 0) = 0$   
 $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$  is not sound.

