The Erdős–Simonovits compactness conjecture needs more assumptions

Yuval Wigderson

For a graph H and an integer n, let ex(n, H) denote the *extremal number* of H, namely the maximum number of edges in an n-vertex H-free graph. Similarly, if \mathcal{F} is a family of graphs, then $ex(n, \mathcal{F})$ denotes the maximum number of edges in an n-vertex graph containing no copy of any $H \in \mathcal{F}$.

Thanks to the Erdős–Stone–Simonovits theorem [3, 5], it is known that

$$\operatorname{ex}(n,\mathcal{F}) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi(\mathcal{F}) - 1} + o(1)\right) \binom{n}{2},$$

where $\chi(\mathcal{F}) := \min_{H \in \mathcal{F}} \chi(H)$. In particular, this gives a precise asymptotic for $ex(n, \mathcal{F})$ whenever \mathcal{F} contains no bipartite graph. However, extremal numbers of bipartite graphs remain poorly understood, despite decades of intensive research. For more on this topic, see e.g. the survey of Füredi and Simonovits [6].

A central open problem in the field is the Erdős–Simonovits compactness conjecture.

Conjecture ([4, Conjecture 1]). For every finite collection \mathcal{F} of graphs, there exists some $H \in \mathcal{F}$ and some c > 0 so that

$$ex(n, \mathcal{F}) \ge c \cdot ex(n, H)$$

for all n.

This is the form the conjecture is stated in [4], as well as in later sources such as [6]. However, there is a simple counterexample to this statement, as pointed out to me by Jordan Lefkowitz.

Recall that $2K_2$ denotes a matching of two edges.

Observation. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{K_{1,2}, 2K_2\}$. Then $ex(n, H) = \Theta(n)$ for all $H \in \mathcal{F}$, while $ex(n, \mathcal{F}) = 1$. In other words, \mathcal{F} is a counterexample to the compactness conjecture.

Proof. The claim that $ex(n, \mathcal{F}) = 1$ follows from the fact that any graph with at least two edges contains either a vertex of degree at least 2 (and thus a copy of $K_{1,2}$) or two vertex-disjoint edges (and thus a copy of $2K_2$). Moreover, for $H \in \mathcal{F}$, the upper bound ex(n, H) = O(n) follows from the simple fact that every forest has linear extremal number (see e.g. [6, Theorem 2.32]). For the lower bound on $ex(n, K_{1,2})$ simply consider the perfect matching $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor K_2$, and for the lower bound on $ex(n, 2K_2)$ consider the star $K_{1,n-1}$.

A more general form of this observation is in [1], and this is used to study an extremal problem in [2]. Simonovits (private communication) told me that such counterexamples to the compactness conjecture have long been known, and suggested the following modified form of the compactness conjecture. **Conjecture.** For every finite collection \mathcal{F} of graphs which contains no forest, there exists some $H \in \mathcal{F}$ and some c > 0 so that

$$\exp(n,\mathcal{F}) \ge c \cdot \exp(n,H)$$

for all n.

Note that the condition that \mathcal{F} has no forest is equivalent to saying that $ex(n, H) = \Omega(n^{1+\varepsilon})$ for some absolute constant $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $H \in \mathcal{F}$, by [6, Theorem 2.32].

References

- V. Chvátal and D. Hanson, Degrees and matchings, J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B 20 (1976), 128–138.
- [2] P. Erdős, Z. Füredi, R. J. Gould, and D. S. Gunderson, Extremal graphs for intersecting triangles, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 64 (1995), 89–100.
- [3] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits, A limit theorem in graph theory, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 1 (1966), 51–57.
- [4] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits, Compactness results in extremal graph theory, Combinatorica 2 (1982), 275–288.
- [5] P. Erdös and A. H. Stone, On the structure of linear graphs, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (1946), 1087–1091.
- [6] Z. Füredi and M. Simonovits, The history of degenerate (bipartite) extremal graph problems, in *Erdös centennial*, *Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud.*, vol. 25, János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 2013, 169–264.