- 1. (a) Using the fact that $r(k) < 4^k$, prove that $r(k;q) < 4^{4^{4^{-1}}}$, where the number of 4s is $\lceil \log_2 q \rceil$.
 - (b) Prove Theorem 2.1.5 in the notes. In particular, derive the bound $r(k;q) < q^{qk}$, which is much stronger than that in part (a).
- 2. Prove that $r(3;q) \leq [e \cdot q!]$, where e is Euler's constant.
- 3. (a) Prove that, for any fixed $k \ge 3$, the limit

$$\lim_{q\to\infty} r(k;q)^{1/q}$$

exists. Conclude that Open problem 2.3.2 from the notes is a well-posed question. *Hint:* Use Fekete's lemma. If you've never heard of Fekete's lemma, look it up and try to prove it before using it!

? (b) Prove that, for any fixed $q \ge 2$, the limit

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} r(k;q)^{1/k}$$

exists.

4. The proof of Lemma 3.1.1 in the lecture notes is not 100% correct, as mentioned in Footnote 1. In this problem you will correct this.

Let G satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 3.1.1, and let t = N/M. Let H be a random induced subgraph of G obtained by picking exactly t vertices of G, uniformly at random (i.e. each of the $\binom{N}{t}$ choices is equally likely). Prove that with positive probability, H has no independent set of order k, and hence

$$r(s,k) > t = \frac{N}{M}$$

- 5. In the approach using Lemma 3.2.1, we lower-bound r(k;q) by picking q-2 random homomorphisms to some K_k -free graph G, and using the last two colors to randomly color all remaining edges. Instead, we could have used q-r random homomorphisms (for some r < q), and r random colors for the remaining edges. Prove that picking r = 2 gives the strongest bounds, hence this extra generality ends up not being useful.
- 6. Let $f, g_1, \ldots, g_q : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be functions. Suppose that there exist $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ such that whenever $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $f(x) f(y) \ge \varepsilon$, then

$$\max_{i \in \llbracket q \rrbracket} (g_i(x) - g_i(y)) \ge \delta.$$

Prove that if g_1, \ldots, g_q are all bounded, then f is bounded as well.

 $[\]star$ means that a problem is hard.

[?] means that a problem is open.

 $[\]Leftrightarrow$ means that a problem is on a topic beyond the scope of the course.

- *7. Prove that r(3,3,3) = 17.
- *8. Prove Lemma 3.3.2 in the lecture notes. Use it to deduce Theorem 2.3.1, which remains the best known lower bound on r(k;q) for fixed $q \ge 3$.
- \oplus 9. In this problem, you will see the original approach of Conlon–Ferber to the improved lower bounds on multicolor Ramsey numbers.

For a positive integer t, let $V_t \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^t$ denote the subspace consisting of all vectors in \mathbb{F}_2^t with an even number of entries equal to 1. Define a graph G_t with vertex set V_t by setting $x \sim y$ if $x \cdot y = 1$, where $x \cdot y = \sum_{i=1}^t x_i y_i$ denotes the usual dot product on \mathbb{F}_2^t .

- (a) Prove that if t is even, then G_t is K_t -free.
- (b) Prove that if t is odd, then G_t is K_{t+1} -free.
- (c) Prove that every independent set in G_t is contained in a vector subspace of dimension at most t/2.
- *(d) Prove that the number of independent sets in G_t of order at most t is at most $2^{\frac{5}{8}t^2 + o(t^2)}$.
 - (e) Using the facts above and Lemma 3.2.1 from the notes, obtain a new proof that $r(k;q) \ge (2^{\frac{3}{8}q-\frac{1}{4}})^{k-o(k)}$ for $q \ge 3$.
- * (f) Working with t = 2k, and randomly sampling a subset of V_t , obtain a different proof that $r(k; 2) \ge 2^{\frac{k}{2} o(k)}$.
- ? (g) In the proof of (f), you showed that a random induced subgraph of G_t , where t = 2k, has no clique or independent set of order k. Can you find an *explicit* description of such a subset (thus resolving Open problem 2.2.3)?